

Al-Azhar International Medical Journal

Volume 5 | Issue 6

Article 31

7-1-2024 Section: ENT

Effect of Nasal and Systemic Corticosteroids on Persistent Post-COVID-19 Olfactory Dysfunction

Ahmed Eldesouky Mohamed Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, docahmed2016@gmail.com

Wael Hassan Aboulwafa Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Marwan Ahmed Ibrahim Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Follow this and additional works at: https://aimj.researchcommons.org/journal

Part of the Medical Sciences Commons, Obstetrics and Gynecology Commons, and the Surgery Commons

How to Cite This Article

Mohamed, Ahmed Eldesouky; Aboulwafa, Wael Hassan; and Ibrahim, Marwan Ahmed (2024) "Effect of Nasal and Systemic Corticosteroids on Persistent Post- COVID-19 Olfactory Dysfunction," *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*: Vol. 5: Iss. 6, Article 31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.58675/2682-339X.2491

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar International Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. For more information, please contact dryasserhelmy@gmail.com.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Effect of Nasal and Systemic Corticosteroids on Persistent Post- COVID-19 Olfactory Dysfunction

Ahmed E. Mohamed *, Wael H. Aboulwafa, Marwan A. Ibrahim

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Background: Several investigations have demonstrated that Nasal and systemic corticosteroids may enhance the olfactory function of certain individuals, while others have found no significant effect.

Aim: To examine the effectiveness and safety of nasal and systemic corticosteroids in the therapy of chronic olfactory dysfunction following COVID-19.

Patient and methods: This randomized controlled clinical study was conducted on fifty non-hospitalized confirmed COVID-19 patients after recovery with persistent smell disorder. They were classified into two equal groups: Group A involved twenty-five cases who received 2 weeks of OC (methylprednisolone 0.5 mg/kg/day) and OT, and Group B involved twenty-five cases who received 2 weeks of NC (mometasone furoate spray 2 sprays inside every nostril once daily) and OT. This study was carried out in the Otorhinolaryngology Surgery Department at Al-Hussien University Hospital.

Results: In Group A, pre-treatment data related to smell disorders Normosmia, Hyposmia, Anosmia, and Cacosmia were (0%, 20%, 72%, and 8%), respectively, while post-treatment data were (0%, 8%, 20%, and 12%), respectively. In Group B, Pre-treatment data related to smell disorders Normosmia, hyposmia, anosmia, and cacosmia were 0%, 8%, 80%, and 12%, respectively, while post-treatment data were 56%, 20%, 24%, and 0%, respectively. Group A and Group B showed complete improvement, with percentages of 20% and 56%, respectively.

Conclusion: Nasal corticosteroids demonstrated further progress in the treatment of cases after COVID-19 infection than systemic corticosteroids. They may improve olfactory function in some cases. More investigation is required to confirm these findings.

Keywords: Nasal and Systemic Corticosteroids; Olfactory Dysfunction; COVID-19

1. Introduction

• oronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a respiratory ailment that is attributed to the SARS-CoV-2 novel coronavirus. Since its December 2019 discovery in Wuhan, China, it has quickly increased throughout the world, evolving into a pandemic. Pneumonia is predominantly transmitted via respiratory aerosols generated during pharyngitis, sneezing, coughing by infected or an individual.1

Loss of taste and smell (olfactory impairment) is a prevalent symptom of COVID-19 infections. According to studies, up to 80% of people who carry COVID-19 have reported experiencing an absence of taste and smell. This olfactory dysfunction can occur before or together with other symptoms and can persist even after the resolution of other symptoms.²

Corticosteroids are a type of medication that can reduce inflammation and are commonly used to treat various medical conditions. In the context of persistent post-COVID-19 symptoms, corticosteroids have been studied for their potential to improve olfactory dysfunction and Both other symptoms.³ oral and nasal corticosteroids have been studied for their potential to improve olfactory dysfunction in individuals who have persistent post-COVID-19 symptoms.⁴

This study aimed to examine the effectiveness and safety of nasal and systemic corticosteroids in treating chronic olfactory dysfunction following COVID-19.

Accepted 21 June 2024.

Available online 31 June 2024

https://doi.org/10.58675/2682-339X.2491

^{*} Corresponding author at: Otorhinolaryngology, Faculty of Medicine for Boys, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt. E-mail address: docahmed2016@gmail.com (A. E. Mohamed).

²⁶⁸²⁻³³⁹X/© 2024 The author. Published by Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

2. Patients and methods

This randomized controlled clinical study was conducted on fifty non-hospitalized confirmed COVID-19 patients after recovery with persistent smell disorder. They were classified into two equal groups: Group A involved twenty-five cases who received two weeks of OC (methylprednisolone 0.5 mg/kg/day) and OT, and Group B involved twenty-five cases who received two weeks of NC (mometasone furoate spray two sprays inside every nostril once daily) and OT. This study was carried out in the Otorhinolaryngology Surgery Department at Al-Hussien University Hospital.

Inclusion criteria: Post-COVID patients with persistent smell disorder lasting for more than 2 weeks and exhibited positive PCR results for SARS-CoV-2 RNA on nasopharyngeal as well as the throat samples, healing of all signs associated with COVID-19 excluding olfactory disorders including hyposmia, anosmia, parosmia, cacosmia, phantosmia, or heterosmia, age \geq 18 years and developed smell abnormalities with sniffin' sticks test score below 11 (normosmia, anosmia, or hyposmia).

Exclusion criteria: Any disease that impairs the sense of smell, such as fungal rhinosinusitis, nasal polyposis, or nasal tumours; background of anosmia prior to the COVID-19 era; history of having undergone sinonasal surgery in the past; conditions that impair the immune system (including AIDS or chemotherapy); current infection; a peptic ulcer; diabetes; glaucoma; a mental illness; or a recent attenuated vaccination are all contraindications to the use of OC.

Method: All cases were subjected to detailed history taking, including the onset and duration of disease, general examination, local ENT examination, and detailed nasal examination. Investigations included CBC, which was associated with significant neutrophilia and lymphopenia in severe cases; CRP, which indicated the presence of inflammation in the body, which can be a sign of an active COVID-19 infection; serum ferritin, used as a supplementary test in some cases in combination with other diagnostic methods to help monitor the severity of COVID-19 and track the patient's response to treatment; D dimer, which indicated the presence of clotting or inflammation in the body; computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the olfactory bulbs;

CT: CT of the chest was the gold standard for suspected COVID-19 cases. It showed characteristic signs of COVID-19 infection such as ground-glass opacities (increased lung attenuation that commonly occurs bilaterally and peripherally), air space consolidations (dense areas), crazy paving appearance (relating to geographic areas of ground glass and interlobular septal thickening), bronchovascular thickening in the lesion, and traction bronchiectasis. The CT scan of the paranasal sinuses was utilised to determine whether or not COVID-19 was present in the upper respiratory tract, including nasal cavities and sinuses. While COVID-19 primarily affects the lower respiratory tract, it can also involve the upper respiratory tract in some cases. The findings on a CT scan of the paranasal sinuses in COVID-19 varied, ranging from normal to mild changes to more severe findings such as sinusitis or mucosal thickening.

Olfactory assessment: (trigeminal assessment). A threshold test and an identification of aromas were conducted utilizing the Connecticut Chemosensory Clinical Research Center (CCCRC) olfactory test.

Olfactory Training: The OT apparatus comprised four sniff bottles or jars, with an approximate volume of fifty ml per bottle or jar. Cotton pads saturated with 1 ml of an odorant were placed inside each vial or container. For conventional OT, cases smell each of the four odours separately for at least twenty to thirty seconds twice per day (ideally prior to meals in the morning and before sleep in the evening). Olfactory training lasted until the end of the study or until there was a full improvement in olfactory sensation.



Figure 1. Bilateral peripheral ground-glass opacities are observed on CT axial as well as sagittal scans through the beginning stage of the COVID-19 infection (A&C). As the disease advances, proceed to consolidation (B & D).



Figure 2. Coronal CT demonstrate well aerated

paranasal sinuses to exclude obstruction problems

Statistical Analysis: The computation was performed utilizing the IBM SPSS software program version 20.0 on the inputted data. (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed to assess the normality of variable distributions. Paired t-tests were utilized to compare two periods of normally distributed quantitative variables. Analysis of Variance with Repeated Measures (ANOVA) was used to contrast the various periods of study for normally distributed quantitative variables. For pairwise comparisons, post-hoc tests (adjusted Bonferroni) were implemented. Utilizing the Pearson connection coefficient, two normally distributed quantitative variables are correlated. At the five per cent significance level, the derived results were deemed significant. ⁵

3. Results

There was a statistically significant difference between the two studied groups as regards age: chronic rhino sinusitis, chronic rhinitis, asthma, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. There was no statistically significant difference between the two studied groups with regard to sex, smoking and allergy. (Table 1)

There was no statistically significant difference between the two studied groups as regards CT findings (round glass opacities, consolidation, vascular enlargement, interlobular septal thickening, air, bronchogram sign, subpleural bands, crazy paving, air trapping, reversed halo sign, and pleural effusion). (Table 2)

The CT paranasal sinuses score pre- and posttreatment for both groups is shown in Table 3 (full obstruction two, partial obstruction one, and no obstruction zero). There was a statistically significant difference regarding the Lund-Mackay CT total score in group A (p = 0.0371) and group B (p = 0.01361) between pre- and post-treatment. (Table 3)

In Group A, pre-treatment data related to smell disorders Normosmia, Hyposmia, Anosmia, and Cacosmia were (0%, 20%, 72%, and 8%), respectively, while post-treatment data were (0%, 8%, 20%, and 12%), respectively, in the same group. In Group B, pre-treatment data related to smell disorders Normosmia, Hyposmia, Anosmia, and Cacosmia were (0%, 8%, 80%, and 12%), respectively, compared to post-treatment data (56%, 20%, 24%, and 0%), respectively, in the same group. (Table 4)

Group A and Group B showed complete improvement with percentages of 20% and 56%, respectively, while partial improvement was 44% and 20% for Group A and Group B, respectively. Some patients showed no improvement, with 36%

in Group A and 24% in Group B. (Table 5)

Table 1. Relation between tested groups regarding demographic data and history of chronic diseases (n = 50)

	GROUP A N= 25	GROUP B P VALUE STATISTICAI		STICALLY	
		N= 25	SIGNIFIC	ANT	
AGE					
MEAN ± SD	33.36±6.58	24.92±4.1 S	9 SIG.	<0.0001	
RANGE (MIN-MAX) SEX	25-45	19-34			
MALE	12 (48%)	10(40%)	0.7771	N.S	
FEMALE	13 (52%)	15(60%)			
SMOKING	()	()			
NEGATIVE	15 (60%)	17(68%)	0.7683	N.S	
POSITIVE	10 (40%)	8(32%)			
HISTORY DATA					
HISTORY OF ALLERGY		18(72%)	0.0856	N.S	
NEGATIVE	11(44%)				
POSITIVE	14(56%)	7(28%)			
CHRONIC		23(92%)	< 0.0001	SIG.	
RHINOSINUSITIS:	8(32%)				
NEGATIVE					
POSITIVE	17(68%)	2(8%)			
CHRONIC RHINITIS:		22(88%)	0.0275	SIG.	
NEGATIVE	14(56%)				
POSITIVE	11(44%)	3(12%)			
ASTHMATIC PATIENT:					
NEGATIVE	14(56%)	23(92%)	0.0128	SIG.	
POSITIVE	11(44%)	2(8%)			
CHRONIC	:				
OBSTRUCTIVE					
NEGATIVE	8(20%)	01(9/10/)	<0.0001	SIC	
DOSITIVE	17(68%)	41(0+70) 4(16%)	~0.0001	510.	
	17(0070)	4(10%)			

Statistical test used: Chi-square test pvalueless than or equal to 0.05 considered statistically significant (ninety-five percent confidence interval).

Table 2. Relation between tested groups regarding CT findings (n = 50)

	GROUP	GROUP B P VALUE		
	А	STATISTICALLY		
	N= 25	N= 25		SIGNIFICANT
CT FINDING				
GROUND-GLASS OPACITIES N (%)	23(92%)	21(84%)	0.221	N.S
CONSOLIDATION N (%)	12(48%)	15(60%)	0.714	N.S
VASCULAR ENLARGEMENT N (%)	9(36%)	7(28%)	0.532	N.S
INTERLOBULAR SEPTAL THICKENING N (%)	14(56%)	13(52%)	0.643	N.S
AIR BRONCHOGRAM SIGN N (%)	7(28%)	8(32%)	0.822	N.S
SUBPLEURAL BANDS N (%)	6(24%)	8(32%)	0.741	N.S
CRAZY PAVING N (%)	3(12%)	4(16%)	0.321	N.S
AIR TRAPPING N (%)	0(0%)	1(4%)	0.952	N.S
REVERSED HALO SIGN N (%)	3(12%)	2(8%)	0.852	N.S
PLEURAL EFFUSION N (%)	3(12%)	2(8%)	0.852	N.S

Tab	le	3:	Relation	between	tested	groups
regardi	ng	Lun	d-Mackay	v CT Score	Data Pre	and
	Pre	-Tre	atment	Post- Trea	tment	

	N=25	Ν	I=25	p	value	sign	ificant
RIGHT				-			
FRONTAL	1.12±0.78		1.01±0.76		0.8551		N.S
ANTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.2±0.76		0.91±0.78		0.0159		SIG.
POSTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.08±0.86		0.98±0.86		0.624		N.S
MAXILLARY	1.16±0.8		0.86±0.8	3	0.039		SIG.
SPHENOID	1.12±0.83		1.08±0.86		0.8682		N.S
OSTIOMEATAL COMPLEX	1.62±1.02		0.88±1.01		0.0163		SIG.
LEFT							
FRONTAL	1.11±0.91		1±0.91		0.7576		N.S
ANTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.16±0.8		1.08±0.76		0.7185		N.S
POSTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.08±0.81		0.88±0.73		0.3633		N.S
MAXILLARY	1.28±0.94		1.12±0.93		0.5467		N.S
SPHENOID	0.64±0.49		1.24±0.83		0.0035		SIG.
OSTIOMEATAL COMPLEX	1.92±0.4		0.96±1.02		0.0001		SIG.
LUND-MACKAY CT TOTAL SCORE	13.8±6.63		10.68±7.1		0.0371		SIG.
LUND-MACKAY CT SCORE GROUP B: RIGHT							
FRONTAL	1+0.76	C	76+0.44	0	1804	N	S
ANTERIOR	1.12±0.73	C).88±0.33	0	1419	N	.s
POSTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.24±0.78	C	0.88±0.33	0	0412	S	IG.
MAXILLARY	1.28±0.68		0.96±0.2	0	0316	S	IG.
SPHENOID	1.24±0.72		1±0	0	0531	N	S
OSTIOMEATAL COMPLEX	1.2±1	C	0.84±0.37	0	102	Ν	.s
LEFT						~ ~ ~	
FRONTAL	1.44±0.72	(0.96±0.2	0	.003	SIC	ì.
ANTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.32±0.63	C	0.92±0.28	0	.0017	SIC	ì.
POSTERIOR ETHMOIDS	1.17±0.74	C	0.92±0.28	0	.1419	N.S	8
MAXILLARY	1.30±0.7	C).92±0.28	0	.0114	SIC	ł.
SPHENOID	1.31±0.79	C).8±0.41	0	.0065	SIC	ì.
OSTIOMEATAL COMPLEX	1.11±1.01	C	0.08±0.28	<	0.0001	SIC	} .
LUND-MACKAY CT TOTAL SCORE	13.92±5.79	ç	9.51±1.71	0	.0136	SIC	.

Statistical test utelized: 2 sample T-test N.S= Not Significant Sig.= Significant 4. Relation between olfactory Table

assessments data pre and post treatment. PRE-TREATMENT POST-TREATMENT P VALUE

	110	211110120111	STATISTICA	
				SIGNIFICANT
	N= 2	25	N= 25	
OLFACTORY ASSESSMENTS				
GROUP A				
NORMOSMIA N (%)	0(09	6)	7(28%)	
HYPOSMIA N (%)	5(20	5(20%)	10(40%)	
()				<0.0
			001*	SIG.
ANOSMIA N (%)	18(7	2%)	8(32%)	
CACOSMIA N (%)	2(89	6)	0(0%)	
GROUP B				
NORMOSMIA N (%)	0(09	6)	18(72%)	
HYPOSMIA N (%)	2(89	6)	4(16%)	
()				<0.0
			001*	SIG.
ANOSMIA N (%)	20(8	:0%)	3(12%)	
CACOSMIA N	3(12	1%)	0(0%)	

Statistical test used: Chi-square test N.S= Not Significant Sig.= Significant

Table	5.	Relation	between	patient's
improvemer	nt da	ta regarding	tested group	os

-	Group A N= 25	Group B N= 25	P VALUE	statistical SIGNIFICANT
COMPLETE IMPROVEMENT	5(20%)	14(56%)		
PARTIAL IMPROVEMENT	11(44%)	5(20%)	0.0285	SIG.
NO IMPROVEMENT	9(36%)	6(24%)		

4. Discussion

Contrary to other regions of the CNS, the olfactory system demonstrates neural plasticity that persists throughout an individual's lifetime, neurogenesis as evidenced by in the neuroepithelium and portions of the olfactory tract.³

In our study, the patients treated with NC (mometasone furoate spray, 2 sprays into every nostril once per day) and OT showed higher improvement (56% of complete improvement) than patients treated with oral corticosteroids (OC) (methylprednisolone 0.5 mg/kg/day) and olfactory training, with only 20% of complete improvement.

Due to the fact that olfactory dysfunction associated with COVID-19 has been recognized as a potentially beneficial treatment, corticosteroids have been the subject of a number of investigations examining the efficacy of various formulations, dosing, and routes of steroid administration. The positive impact of corticosteroids on olfactory dysfunction caused by COVID-19 could be attributed to their antiinflammatory properties, which could alleviate inflammation of the olfactory neuroepithelium. Since topical and oral corticosteroids are readily available and inexpensive, their use is feasible in the majority of situations.6

Systemic corticosteroids have а more pronounced profile of adverse effects than nasal steroids, which may render them safer in comparison to oral corticosteroids. While topical steroids are presently employed to treat a wide range of conditions, they are not without the potential for adverse effects, including nasal irritation, epistaxis, and more severe local complications like ischaemia. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the potential benefits and hazards of this treatment.⁴

As indicated previously, there has yet to be a consensus among the findings of various studies. Abdelalim et al.⁷ examined the efficacy of topical corticosteroid (mometasone furoate) in treating anosmia and hyposmia in cases who had recovered from infection with COVID-19 in prospective, randomized, controlled research. The findings of this research indicated that intranasal corticosteroid therapy did not yield any discernible benefit over placebo in terms of anosmia duration, odour scores, or recovery rates.

Rashid et al.⁸ reached the same conclusion regarding the efficacy of intranasal betamethasone placebo-controlled, in research randomized, double-blind clinical involving 276 cases who had recuperated from infection with COVID-19 and experienced anosmia for a duration exceeding fifteen days.

Participants who used fluticasone nasal spray noted a considerable improvement in anosmia in additional research, including the one by Singh et al.⁵ A multicenter randomized case-control study was conducted by Vaira et al.⁶ to evaluate the performance of corticosteroid therapy for COVID-19 cases who presented with severe hyposmia or anosmia for a duration exceeding thirty days. In contrast to the control group, which did not undergo therapy, the treatment group experienced a distinct and more rapid enhancement in olfactory scores following administration of systemic prednisone, betamethasone nasal irrigation, and ambroxol (a decongestant and mucolytic).

Even though there are different opinions on this topic, the British Rhinological Society Consensus (BRS) says that people with nasal symptoms and loss of smell that last longer than two weeks shouldn't have to use topical steroids.⁹

The BRS consensus states that oral corticosteroids should not be prescribed to patients with persistent COVID-19 symptoms and olfactory dysfunction lasting more than two weeks. However, they are recommended as an optional treatment for individuals with olfactory dysfunction lasting over 2 weeks as an isolated symptom.¹⁰

In observational controlled prospective research involving 152 individuals across four institutions, Saussez et al.¹¹ compared various interventions for olfactory dysfunction following COVID-19: nasal corticoids in conjunction with olfactory training, olfactory training alone, or oral corticosteroids as well as olfactory training. The researchers concluded that patients who received oral corticosteroids in conjunction with olfactory training exhibited considerably higher rates of improvement (normosmics) after one month. However. after two months of assessment, no significant distinctions were observed between the groups, suggesting that corticosteroids merely expedite the recuperation process.

Strength of recommendation class C was assigned to six of the 36 studies on post-viral olfactory dysfunction that were analyzed in a May 2020 systematic review. These studies utilized systemic steroids as the treatment approach. Therefore, healthcare providers ought to weigh the advantages and disadvantages of corticosteroids in light of the medical comorbidities of their patients. ¹²

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the impact of systemic and NC treatments on chronic olfactory dysfunction following COVID-19 is still being studied, and more evidence is needed to determine their effectiveness. demonstrated NC further improvement in the treatment of cases after COVID-19 infection than systemic corticosteroids. Currently, there is some evidence suggesting that they may improve olfactory function in some cases; however, more investigation is required to confirm these findings.

Disclosure

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

- Authorship
 - All authors have a substantial contribution to the article
- Funding:No Funds : Yes

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Hosseinpoor M, Kabiri M, Rajati Haghi M, et al. Intranasal Corticosteroid Treatment on Recovery of Long-Term Olfactory Dysfunction Due to COVID-19. Laryngoscope. 2022;132(11):2209-2216.
- Whiteroft KL, Hummel T. Olfactory Dysfunction in COVID-19: Diagnosis and Management. JAMA. 2020;323(24):2512-2514.
- 3. Neta FI, Fernandes ACL, Vale AJM, et al. Pathophysiology and possible treatments for olfactory-gustatory disorders in patients affected by COVID-19. Curr Res Pharmacol Drug Discov. 2021;2:100035.
- Cheema UN, Zeb S, Irfan L, Sikandar MZ, Ashraf SA, Munir K. Impact of Topical v/s Systemic Steroids on Regaining Olfaction in Post Covid-19 Patients; A Randomized Controlled Trail. Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences. 2022 Dec 24;16(11):185.
- 5. Singh CV, Jain S, Parveen S. The outcome of fluticasone nasal spray on anosmia and triamcinolone oral paste in dysgeusia in COVID-19 patients. Am J Otolaryngol. 2021;42(3):102892.
- Addison AB, Wong B, Ahmed T, et al. Clinical Olfactory Working Group consensus statement on the treatment of postinfectious olfactory dysfunction. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147(5):1704-1719.
- Abdelalim AA, Mohamady AA, Elsayed RA, Elawady MA, Abdelalim AA, Mohamady AA, Elsayed RA, Elawady MA, Ghallab AF. Corticosteroid nasal spray for recovery of smell sensation in COVID-19 patients: A randomized controlled trial. Am J Otolaryngol. 2021;42(2):102884.
- Rashid RA, Zgair A, Al-Ani RM. Effect of nasal corticosteroid in the treatment of anosmia due to COVID-19: A randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study. Am J Otolaryngol. 2021;42(5):103033.
- 9. Tuzer C, Karadag P, Yegit O, et al. Clinical effects of intranasal corticosteroids on nasal symptoms in subjects with chronic rhinitis during COVID-19. Allergy Asthma Proc. 2022;43(2):106-115.
- 10.Yuan F, Huang T, Wei Y, Wu D. Steroids and Olfactory Training for Postviral Olfactory Dysfunction: A Systematic Review. Front Neurosci. 2021;15:708510.
- 11.Saussez S, Vaira LA, De Riu G, Lechien JR. Therapies for COVID-19-Related Persistent Olfactory Disorders: One of the Good Fruits of the Pandemic. Pathogens. 2023;12(1):72
- 12.Hura N, Xie DX, Choby GW, et al. Treatment of post-viral olfactory dysfunction: an evidence-based review with recommendations. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2020;10(9):1065-1086.