Al-Azhar International Medical Journal

Volume 4 | Issue 2 Article 15

2-2023

Effect of hydrostatic venous dilatation during creation of arterio-venous fistula on maturation of hemodialysis access

Mahsoub Mourad Amin
Department of Vascular Surgery and General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Egypt

Mohamed Hamza Hamed
Department of Vascular Surgery and General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Egypt

Mahmoud Abdelhady Abdelaziz
Department of Vascular Surgery and General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Egypt

Islam Mohamed Ali Attia Salem
Department of Vascular Surgery and General surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Egypt, eslam.esoo2030@gmail.com

How to Cite This Article

Amin, Mahsoub Mourad; Hamed, Mohamed Hamza; Abdelaziz, Mahmoud Abdelhady; and Salem, Islam Mohamed Ali Attia (2023) "Effect of hydrostatic venous dilatation during creation of arterio-venous fistula on maturation of hemodialysis access," *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*: Vol. 4: Iss. 2, Article 15.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.58675/2682-339X.1666

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Effect of Hydrostatic Venous Dilatation During the Creation of Arteriovenous Fistula on the Maturation of Hemodialysis Access

Mahsoub Mourad Amin, Mohamed Hamza Hamed,
Mohamed AbdElhady AbdElaziz, Islam Mohamed Ali Attia Salem*

Department of Vascular Surgery and General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Background: **The objective of arteriovenous access creation is to furnish an available vascular structure with enough bloodstream that can be cannulated for satisfactory dialysis. Ultrasonographic assessment is considered a standard strategy for each medical procedure of arteriovenous fistula (AVF) creation. It determines utilized AVF work monitoring in the postoperative period.**

Objective: **To review and discuss the advances in the effect of hydrostatic venous dilatation during intraoperative AVF creation in end-stage renal disease patients and its effect on the maturation of the fistula guided by preoperative and postoperative Duplex assessment.**

Patients and methods: **This prospective study was performed on 40 patients presented in the Department of Vascular Surgery at Al-Azhar University hospitals (Al-Hussin and Bab-Alsheryah hospitals). Patients were monitored regularly in the dialysis unit; data collected included patient demographics, comorbidities, any previous vascular access, type of anatomical site of current AVF, details of the operative procedure, exact expected time, and functional maturation. Patient will do surgical AVF creation with estimated glomerular filtration rate less than 15.0 ml/min referred from nephrology department.**

Results: **At 6 weeks postoperatively, the mean vein diameter was 6.4 mm at the site of anastomosis, 6.23 and 6.1 mm at 3 and 10 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis, respectively. The average vein diameter increased by 0.57 mm (15.5%) after hydrostatic dilatation and 3.23 (112.2%) after 6 weeks from intervention.**

Conclusion: **The creation of effective AVF hemodialysis all together develop adequate hemodialysis and is influenced by various factors, the most significant factor being distensibility of the vein through percent of the expansion in vein width not preoperative supreme vein measurement.**

Keywords: Creation of arteriovenous fistula, Hydrostatic venous dilatation, Maturation of hemodialysis access

1. Introduction

The native arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is the vascular access of choice for patients who require hemodialysis. It lasts longer and is associated with fewer complications than other types of vascular access. For patients requiring hemodialysis, these benefits translate into a better quality of life and longer survival. Doctors involved in the construction and maintenance of vascular accesses for hemodialysis know that Doppler ultrasound is fundamental to identifying vessels that are suitable for creating an AVF (preoperative mapping) and for early detection of complications (surveillance).[1]

Ultrasonography examination should become a standard procedure introduced before every surgery of AVF creation. It should be used for AVF function and monitoring in the postoperative period.[2]

Patients with larger vein diameters on preoperative vein mapping are at lower risk for failure of

Accepted 7 September 2022.
Available online 15 May 2023

* Corresponding author. Department of Vascular Surgery and General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo 11633, Egypt.
E-mail address: eslam.esoo2030@gmail.com (I.M. Ali Attia Salem).

https://doi.org/10.58675/2682-339X.1666

fistula maturation and have increased longer-term AVF patency. Duplex ultrasound (DUS) should be used to determine the minimum vein diameter (MVD) available for potential future fistula operations. Physicians can use the MVD measurement to discuss with patients about the potential success or failure of the fistula. MVD was the only clinical or demographic factor associated with both AVF maturation and long-term patency.[3]

A preoperative vein diameter of more than 1.9 mm and an artery diameter of more than 1.5 mm, as measured by Doppler ultrasound, have a successful maturation rate of more than 60% and are associated with an increase in maturation rate proportionate to an increase in vein diameter in patients with end-stage renal disease.[4]

The goal of chronic hemodialysis access is to facilitate repeated access to the circulation with minimal complications. Decisions surrounding the initial choice of chronic hemodialysis access are complex and should aim to maximize the likelihood of providing functional access within a reasonable period of time, minimizing complications during creation and access use while taking into consideration patient preferences. Although AVF is the preferred form of dialysis access, they continue to have significant problems with both early and late failures.[2]

The main disadvantages of AVF are the high primary failure rates and that they are not immediately available for use. A maturation time of several weeks is needed to ensure safe annulation without compromising the survival of the access.[5]

Although there is general agreement that AVFs should be placed as distally as anatomically possible, there is still controversy regarding which AVF is most appropriate for the elderly, considering the high primary failure rate of radiocephalic fistulae compared with brachia cephalic fistulae in this age group.[6]

Preservation of vessels in the upper limbs (veins and arteries) by preventing the vein puncture of the upper arm and forearm veins, preventing the midline central venous catheter and the peripherally inserted one, can contribute to the peripheral vein's preservation for the future AVFs access.[5]

To avoid unsuccessful attempts, guidelines recommend preoperative DUS and the use of vessels with a diameter able to maintain enough blood flow and fistula maturation. DUS allows for the observation of arterial diameter, vessel wall thickness, wall alterations, blood vessel course, and localization of any obstructive or stenotic lesions present and can also perform a functional assessment. The 2012 European Renal Best Practice guidelines suggest the use of DUS assessment in all patients being considered for vascular access formation.[7]

The aim of the study was to review and discuss the advances in the effect of hydrostatic venous dilatation during intraoperative AVF creation in end-stage renal disease patients and its effect on the maturation of fistula guided by preoperative and postoperative duplex assessment.

2. Patients and methods

This prospective study was performed on 40 patients presented in the Department of Vascular Surgery at Al-Azhar University hospitals (Al-Hussein and Bab-Alsheryah hospitals). Written consent will be taken from the patient before including them in the study privacy of the collected data will be assured.

A convenience sample of patients suitable for AVF creation fulfilling the inclusion criteria was recruited. A duplex scan should be done, immediately after AVF creation 6 weeks postoperatively. Preoperative patency, depth, and diameter flow velocity in both artery veins were examined through the DUS recorded.

Patients were monitored regularly in the dialysis unit; data collected included patient demographics, comorbidities, any previous vascular access, type of anatomical site of current AVF, details of operative procedure, exact expected time, and functional maturation.

Patient selectively directed surgical AVF creation with an estimated glomerular filtration rate of less than 15.0 ml/min referred from the Nephrology Department AVF creation hemodialysis.

Inclusion criteria: vein diameter of more than 1.9 mm, artery diameter of more than 1.5 mm, age from 18 to 80 years, and men and women.

Exclusion criteria: vein diameter of less than 1.9 mm, artery diameter of less than 1.5 mm, peripheral vascular disease, absent pulsation, the patient unfit for surgery, for example, congestive heart failure, inflammatory skin disorder in the operation area, central venous obstruction, contracture elbow joint, and autoimmune disease (vasculitis).

2.1. Study procedures

Full history was taken in detail: patient demographics, age, sex, comorbidities, history of previous surgeries, number of central venous cannulation, and current medications.

Full clinical examination of patients performed including assessment of skin condition in both upper limbs, arterial pulsation, and transmitted vein tapping under proximal tourniquet, in addition to ensuring that the patient can lie flat during the duration of the procedure.

2.2. General examination

Vital signs were recorded for every patient (pulse, temperature, blood pressure respiratory rate).

Patients also were evaluated for signs of pulmonary congestion (chest pain, dyspnea, orthopnea, tachypnea) heart failure (tender hepatomegaly, ascites, bilateral lower limb edema).

Palpation of pulses: in the whole limb (axillary, brachial, radial, ulnar).

Allen's test assesses the dominant artery adequacy of the palmar arch.

The presence of central venous obstruction was evaluated through upper limb or facial edema or dilated neck or chest veins.

BMI.

Transmitted vein tapping assesses superficial venous patency.

2.3. Investigation

Routine investigations: laboratory investigations:

- Complete blood count, random blood sugar, renal function tests, liver function tests, coagulation profile (prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, international normalized ratio), C-reactive protein.
- Radiological investigations: ECG and chest radiography.
- Specific investigations.

Duplex scanning of both upper limbs done commenting on:

- The deep venous system evaluates the patency of the vein.
- Superficial venous system (flow velocity, depth, diameter) preoperative, immediately postoperative, and 6 weeks postoperative.
- Arterial system (flow velocity, diameter) preoperative, immediately postoperative, 6 weeks postoperative.

Anatomical landmark scanning:

- At the designated site of vascular anastomosis (wrist–midarm–elbow).
- The 3 cm proximal to it and 10 cm proximal to it.

2.4. Procedure

- The patient was placed in a supine position with the designated arm prepped and draped in the usual sterile manner.
- Regional/local anesthesia was administrated.
- Identification and mobilization of 2 cm of the radial artery and the intended vein prepared.
- Segmental gradual hydrostatic dilatation of the vein using heparinized saline injection under pressure while applying proximal tourniquet using manual injection (while occluding the proximal vein outflow) with gradually increasing pressure till maximum dilation can be obtained.
- 0.6 mm longitudinal arteriotomy after obtaining proximal-distal control.
- End-to-side/side-to-side anastomosis using continuous 6/0 Proline sutures.

2.5. Postoperative care follow-up

Documented instruction about the care of fistula at home, given on discharged patients, preventing operated arm venous sampling or cannulation, measuring blood pressure or wearing tight sleeve clothes or tight heavy jewelry on it.

Patients were educated on palpate thrill before being discharged from the hospital. Also, instruct the patient if he notices any abnormalities such as numbness, discoloration, or coldness of fingers. Also the patient was trained in different exercises such as ball activity.

Patients are evaluated clinically through outpatient clinic follow-up visits at first, fourth, sixth weeks postoperative radiologically at sixth week postoperatively regarding depth and diameter flow velocity through DUS examination.

AVF success is considered by confirming maturation according to KDOQI guidelines as follows: at 6 weeks postoperatively, vein diameter should be 6 mm, depth less than 6 mm from the skin, and flow velocity greater than 600 ml/min (KDOQI, 2017).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS X7 (IBM, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics used patient demographics, and χ^2 test is used to compare demographics between patients with failure of maturation and those with completely mature AVF. *t*-test was used to compare the means of a percent increase in vein diameter following hydrostatic dilatation. Kaplan–Meier curves plotted display time complete maturation. The trend line plotted displays the mean flow velocity in the vein preoperatively, immediately postoperatively, and 6 weeks postoperatively. *P* values are considered significant if less than 0.05. Correlation curves were also used to explore the relationship between the percent increase in vein diameter following hydrostatic dilatation AVF maturation.

3. Results

A total of 40 procedures per median, 17 (42.5%) of the patients were males and 23 (57.5%) were females; 16 (40%) had a history of previous vascular access procedures, 17 (42.5%) were diabetic, 26 (65%) hypertensive, one (2.5%) patient had a history of ischemic heart disease not significantly interfering with the overall cardiac function. Overall, nine (22.5%) radiocephalic anastomosis were done, 17 (42.5%) brachiocephalic, three (7.5%) single-stage brachio-basilic vein transposing, and 11 (27.5%) two-stage brachio-basilic procedures. By 6 weeks, 36 (90%) AVF successfully mature and four (10%) failed to mature (Table 1).

Regarding baseline laboratory investigations, the mean age is 55.4 years, mean BMI is 26.74, mean hemoglobin is 9.5, mean total leukocyte count is 7.7, mean blood urea nitrogen is 113.53, mean creatinine is 6, mean international normalized ratio is 1.11, and the mean C-reactive protein is 3.55 (Table 2).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics baseline clinical data.

	n (%)
Sex	
Male	17 (42.5)
Female	23 (57.5)
Diabetes	
No	23 (57.5)
Yes	17 (42.5)
Hypertension	
No	14 (35)
Yes	26 (65)
ISHD	
No	39 (97.5)
Yes	1 (2.5)
History of previous vascular access	
No	24 (60)
Yes	16 (40)
Allen's test	
Ulnar dominance	32 (80)
Radial dominance	8 (20)
Type of operation	
Radiocephalic	9 (22.5)
Brachiocephalic	17 (42.5)
Brachiobasilic 2 stages	11 (27.5)
Brachiobasilic single-stage transpositioning	3 (7.5)
Fistula maturation at 6 weeks	
No	4 (10)
Yes	36 (90)

ISHD, ischemic heart disease.

Table 2. Preoperative baseline investigations.

	Mean	SD
Age	55.39	14.49
BMI	26.74	3
Hemoglobin	9.5	1.44
TLC	7.68	2.64
PLT	257.93	108.57
BUN	113.53	36.87
Creatinine	6	2.65
AST	35.38	17.49
PT	13.58	0.94
PTT	32.15	5.37
	Median	IQR
Duration of hemodialysis in days	180	833
Eosinophils	3.5	4
ALT	22.75	36.5
INR	1.11	0.2
CRP	3.55	6.3

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CRP, C-reactive protein; INR, international normalized ratio; IQR, interquartile range; PLT, platelet; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time; TLC, total leukocyte count.

The mean preoperative arterial diameter is 3.3 mm, mean depth is 4.6 mm, and the mean flow velocity is 66.3 ml/s. As vein measurements, the mean preoperative vein diameter is 2.8 mm at the intended site of anastomosis, 3 mm at 3 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis, and 3.2 mm at 10 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis (Table 3).

The mean immediate postoperative vein diameter is 3.4 mm at the intended site of anastomosis, 3.6 mm at 3 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis, and 3.79 mm at 10 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis (Table 4).

The median increase in vein diameter after hydrostatic dilatation (immediately postoperative) is 0.5 mm (17.45%) at the site of anastomosis, 0.5 mm (16.7%) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis, and 0.4 mm (11.75%) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis (Table 5).

At 6 weeks postoperatively, the mean vein diameter was 6.4 mm at the site of anastomosis, 6.23 and 6.1 mm at 3 and 10 cm at the proximal site of anastomosis, respectively. Average vein diameter increased by 0.57 mm (15.5%) after hydrostatic dilatation and 3.23 (112.2%) after 6 weeks from intervention (Table 6).

4. Discussion

The objective of AV access creation is to furnish an available vascular structure with enough bloodstream that can be cannulated consistently licensed at its factory dialysis.

Objective of AV access creation furnish an available vascular structure with enough blood stream.

Table 3. Preoperative baseline arterial venous measurements.

	Mean	SD
Preoperative arterial depth (mm) at the site of anastomosis	4.64	1.74
Preoperative arterial diameter i(mm) at the site of anastomosis	3.33	0.59
Preoperative arterial flow velocity (mm/s) at the site of anastomosis	66.3	17.2
Preoperative vein depth (mm) at the site of anastomosis	3.22	1.6
Preoperative vein diameter (mm) at the site of anastomosis	2.81	0.47
Preoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) at the site of anastomosis	6.6	2.45
Preoperative vein diameter (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	3	0.49
Preoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	5.96	2.01
Preoperative vein diameter (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.24	0.57
Preoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	5.57	1.76
Preoperative vein depth (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.2	0.68
Preoperative vein depth (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.4	0.54

Table 4. Venous measurements immediately postoperatively.

	Mean	SD
Immediately postoperative vein depth (mm) at the site of anastomosis	3.74	1.16
Immediately postoperative vein diameter (mm) at the site of anastomosis	3.4	0.46
Immediately postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) at the site of anastomosis	111.1	48.01
Immediately postoperative vein diameter (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.6	0.45
Immediately postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	104.78	49.41
Immediately postoperative vein diameter (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.79	0.56
Immediately postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	98.71	19.53
Immediately postoperative vein depth (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.1	0.53
Immediately postoperative vein depth (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.85	0.61

The significance of the total preoperative vein breadth as a predictor result has been concentrated by a few examination ventures, discussion with respect to cutoff worth tended to by KDOQI rules at first in 2016, suggesting a base vein distance across of 2.5 mm, giving essential patency going from 63 to 76%.[8]

We thought that few factors an AV access be useable hemodialysis. Incorporate openness while tolerant is situated; capacity dependably consistently cannulate access, which requires as hallow access that is straight enough length satisfactory bloodstream, use during cannulation. This requires a vein profundity of less than 6 mm, distance across of greater than 6 mm, and stream speed of greater than 600 ml/min concurring KDOKI rules.

In our investigation all together kill complicated factor negligibly unknown legal pre-operative vein width 2 mm.

Kidney disease outcomes quality initiative "vein profundity < 6 mm, distance across > 6 mm. stream speed > 600 ml/min" [9]

In our investigation, none of the mentioned predictors fundamentally extraordinary between effectively developed bombed bunches or stoutness, which is likewise reflected by expanded vein profundity of female sex which is more in bombed gatherings, both can be viewed as jumbling factors in results.

In the presence of comorbidities, for example, diabetes and hypertension is the significant factors that influence AVF patency.[10,11] In our examination, both diabetes and hypertension ha no critical effect on AVF fruitful development.

Vascular issues influencing access creation are almost certain to be more venous than blood vessel. Veins of the furthest point are habitually objects of iatrogenic injury because of vein puncture or focal venous access. Likewise, a few examinations show that some basic variations from the normal can happen in patients with end-stage kidney illness free of any AV access medical procedure.[12] Access vein width is characterized as the internal breadth of veins in the real or forthcoming cannulation zone.[13]

More examinations zeroed in on venous blood vessel stream rates both preoperatively and intra-operatively, vein course distance across, and working specialist qualities as predictors of results in AVF creation development.[10,14] Depending on vein stream volume estimation as a predictor AVF fruitful development. [15] In our investigation estimating stream volume perioperatively has no impact on fistula disappointment development, which interferes that the stream volume does not get upper high over vein

Table 5. *Increase in vein diameter at the site of anastomosis, 3 and 10 cm proximal along different intervals.*

Variables	Mean	SD
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively at the site of anastomosis compared to initial		
Vein diameter preoperatively	3	0.84
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively at the site of anastomosis compared with initial vein diameter preoperatively	92.21	34.83
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively 3 cm proximal to anastomosis compared with initial vein diameter		
Preoperatively	2.64	0.85
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively 3 cm proximal to anastomosis compared with initial vein diameter preoperatively	76.16	30.42
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively 10 cm proximal to anastomosis compared with initial vein diameter preoperatively	2.32	0.93
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively 10 cm proximal to anastomosis compared with initial vein diameter preoperatively	64.93	31.18
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively at the site of anastomosis immediately postoperative compared with the vein diameter at the site of anastomosis 3 weeks postoperatively	3.03	0.78
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively at the site of anastomosis immediately postoperatively compared with vein diameter 3 weeks postoperatively	92.77	33.65
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively 3 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative compared vein diameter 3 weeks postoperatively	2.68	0.78
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively 3 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative compared vein diameter 3 weeks postoperatively	77.26	29.12
Increase in vein diameter (mm) 6 weeks postoperatively 10 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative compared vein diameter 3 weeks postoperatively	2.36	0.84
Percent of increase in vein diameter 6 weeks postoperatively 10 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative compared vein diameter 3 weeks postoperative	66.20	31.18
Variables	Mean	SD
Increase in vein diameter (mm) after hydrostatic dilatation at site of anastomosis immediately weeks postoperative	0.5	0.4
Percent of increase in vein diameter after hydrostatic dilatation at site of anastomosis postoperative	17.45	16.9
Increase in vein diameter (mm) after hydrostatic dilatation 3 cm proximal to anastomosis Immediately postoperative	0.5	0.4
Percent of increase in vein diameter after hydrostatic dilatation 3 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative	16.70	19.5
Increase in vein diameter (mm) after hydrostatic dilatation 10 cm proximal to anastomosis Immediately postoperative	0.4	0.4
Percent of increase in vein diameter after hydrostatic dilatation 10 cm proximal to anastomosis immediately postoperative	11.75	18.6

Table 6. *Venous measurements 6 weeks postoperative.*

	Mean	SD
6 weeks postoperative vein depth (mm) at site of anastomosis	3.225	0.93
6 weeks postoperative vein diameter (mm) at site of anastomosis	6.4	0.67
6 weeks postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) at site of anastomosis	662.51	105.48
6 weeks postoperative vein depth (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	2.83	0.95
6 weeks postoperative vein Diameter (mm) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	6.23	0.74
6 weeks postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 3 cm proximal to anastomosis	635.32	103.09
6 weeks postoperative vein depth (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	3.13	1.11
6 weeks postoperative vein diameter (mm) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	6.1	0.72
6 weeks postoperative venous flow velocity (mm/s) 10 cm proximal to anastomosis	618.68	104.63

width. Follow up of maturation of AVF is through month and a half post-operative is a Mary.

In our examination, one of the most significant factors that anticipate fistula development is an increment in vein width not supreme vein measurement impact of intraoperative hydrostatic expansion on increment vein distance across utilizing DUS look at vein conduit before AVF creation, promptly postoperative a month and a half postemployable beginning hemodialysis from recently made AVF.

Formation of an AVF brings about the progression of high-pressure blood from blood vessels in flow in venous outpouring. This makes a divider shear pressure (equal vein divider) that is along these lines remunerated by vein luminal measurement increment, what is more average hyperplasia accordingly increment in vertical weight applied vessel divider.[16]

Altogether this is achievable, vein divider versatility dispensability must be sufficient to permit such expansion in width. Thus, territories of venous fibrosis or stenosis following vein puncture or thrombophlebitis contrarily impact AVF achievement. This is the reason our investigation zeroed in on the level of expansion in vein measurement rather than outright vein distance across after dilatation.

With respect to intraoperative procedures, a few specialists resorts to AVF dilatation during careful creation regularly utilizing tests that correspond with better results.[16] Through essential on-table routine inflatable helped development with obscure aftereffects of patency going from 85 to 100%.[17]

There is no method for intra operative administration for the small or non-distensible veins.

Our examination was dependent on the thought of vein divider along the entire length of vein[11] where preoperative day 1 postoperative vein widths estimated through duplex at the site of anastomosis, contrast between effectively developed bombed bunches discovered to be factually critical.

Our examination, however, was dependent on the thought of vein divider versatility conformability along the entire length of vein disappointment of an AVF develop does not rely solely upon vein dispensability at site of anastomosis; as needs be, vein width estimations taken at various lengths from the anastomosis. Also, our examination point is to give an intraoperative appraisal of vein dispensability as a predictor of achievement, altogether retreat adjuvant strategies, for example, essential inflatable helped development ordinary event, repositioning of AVF in an alternative in earlier perming arteriotomy given that vein does not display adequate expansion in width following hydrostatic dilatation, vein breadths estimated intraoperative.

Shockingly, most noticeable to touch the vein sites in which estimation of expanded vein dilatation is solid discovered to be 3 cm proximal to the site of anastomosis, rather than site of anastomosis itself.

This finding can most likely be described with certainty that an extensive portion of vein periphery at the site of anastomosis is burned-through in the arrangement of stitches in an everted manner. Moreover, the strategy utilized hydrostatic dilatation in our investigation through infusing heparinized saline under tension with consecutive manual proximal venous impediment at variable good ways from venotomy.

This methodology renders the expected site of anastomosis the least possibility of dispensability as in the significant working length of the cannula utilized in infusion cannot be enlarged through hydrostatic dilatation, thusly would not show gigantic dilatation through duplex promptly postoperatively.

4.1. Conclusion

The production of effective AVF hemodialysis altogether develops adequate hemodialysis and is influenced by various factors, the most significant factor being distensibility of the vein through the percent of the expansion of vein width not preoperative to supreme vein measurement.

Estimating distensibility of vein through percent of vein breadth increment after intraoperative hydrostatic dilatation can be an extensive predictor AVF effective development rather than vein width, most unmistakable delicate vein site in which estimation of expanded vein dilatation is solid discovered be 3 cm proximal to site of anastomosis, rather than site of anastomosis itself.

The increment in normal vein breadth by 0.45 mm (15.5%) after hydrostatic dilatation is a predictor of fruitful AVF development.

This gives a situation about the capacity of vein divider stretch accordingly divider shear pressure, at last, arrive at an adequate distance across use as a vascular access dialysis. Bigger scope examines are required to affirm finding close a more touchy cutoff percent of vein dilatation for seeing fruitful AVF development.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest, no financial issues to be declared.

References

1. Zakaria H, As'ad N, Sawalmeh O, Shraim M, Kukhon F. Vascular access types in hemodialysis patients in Palestine and factors affecting their distribution: a cross-sectional study. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2019;30:166−174.
2. Wilmink T, Houlihan M. Diameter criteria have limited value prediction of functional dialysis use of arteriovenous fistulas. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2018;56:572−581.
3. Eric P, Behdad M, Williams R, Wilson S. Flow rates at thirty days after construction of radiocephalic arteriovenous fistula predict hemodialysis function. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2018;16:122−126.
4. Venu M, Vaddi S, Reddy V, Dayapule S. Factors influencing patency of Brescia-Cimino arteriovenous fistulas in hemodialysis patients. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2017;28:313−317.
5. Veroux P, Giaquinta A, Tallarita T, Sinagra N, Virgilio C. Primary balloon angioplasty of small ($\leq$2 mm) cephalic veins improves primary patency of arteriovenous fistulae decreases reintervention rates. *J Vasc Surg*. 2013;18:233−238.

6. Lazarides MK, Georgiadis GS, George AA, Dimitrios NS. A meta-analysis of dialysis access outcome in elderly patients. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007;7:96–102.
7. Robinson B, Saran R, Woodside K. Surgeon characteristics dialysis vascular access outcomes in United States: a retrospective cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2020;75:158–166.
8. Kdoqi NKF. Clinical practice guidelines clinical practice recommendations 2006 updates hemodialysis adequacy perineal dialysis adequacy vascular access. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2017;48: S2–S90.
9. Lok CE, Thomas SH, Timmy L, Surendra S, Alex SY. KDOQI clinical practice guideline vascular access: 2019 update. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2020;75:1–164.
10. Pogula VR, Nalubolu MR, Byram R, et al. Preoperative factors predicting the outcomes of arteriovenous fistula surgery. *Indian J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2019;6:74–78.
11. Manne V, Vedamurthy R, Mallikarjuna R, Vijayabhaskar G, Ranadheer B. Can pre post operative vein diameter post operative flow velocities influence patency of vascular access in hemodialysis patients? . *Indian J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2018;5: 145–149.
12. Lee T, Safdar N, Mistry M, Wang Y, Chauhan V. Preexisting venous calcification prior dialysis vascular access surgery. *Semin Dial*. 2012;25:592–595.
13. Ferring M, Henderson J, Wilmink T. Accuracy of early post operative clinical ultrasound examination of arteriovenous fistulae predict dialysis use. *J Vasc Access*. 2014;15:291–297.
14. Meyer A, Flicker E, König S, Vetter A. Determinants of successful arteriovenous fistulae creation including intraoperative transit time flow measurement. *J Vasc Access*. 2020; 12:331–336.
15. Saucy F, Haesler E, Haller C, Déglise S, Teta D. Is intraoperative blood flow predictive early failure of radiocephalic arteriovenous fistula? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2010;25: 862–867.
16. Hammes M. Hemodynamic biologic determinates of arteriovenous fistula outcomes in renal failure patients. *BioMed Res Int*. 2015;15: 171674.
17. De Marco Garcia LP, Davila-Santini LR, Feng Q, Calderin J, Krishnasastry KV. Primary balloon angioplasty plus balloon angioplasty maturation to upgrade small-caliber veins (<3 mm) for arteriovenous fistulas. *J Vasc Surg*. 2010;52:139–144.