

Al-Azhar International Medical Journal

Volume 3 | Issue 9

Article 9

9-1-2022

The genetic influence of VEGFA single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (rs1570360) on the risk, disease activity, and severity in systemic lupus erythematosus patients.

Hany Ali Department of Clinical Pathology, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, hanyfawzy048@gmail.com

Rabab Mohamed Department of Clinical and Chemical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt, rabab.eltememy@gmail.com

Samia Hassan Department of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, samiafadda@hotmail.com

Enas A. Ablelaleem Ablelaleem Department of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt, dr.enas2000@gmail.com

Reliable Hackard additional works at: https://aimj.researchcommons.org/journal partment of Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Supple, rababbakery@gmail.com Commons

How to Cite This Article

Ali, Hany; Mohamed, Rabab; Hassan, Samia; Ablelaleem, Enas A. Ablelaleem; and Bakry, Rabab (2022) "The genetic influence of VEGFA single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (rs1570360) on the risk, disease activity, and severity in systemic lupus erythematosus patients.," *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*: Vol. 3: Iss. 9, Article 9.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21608/aimj.2022.153427.2059

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar International Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. For more information, please contact dryasserhelmy@gmail.com.

Clinical and Chemical Pathology

Hany Fawzy Ali¹*MD; Rabab Afifi Mohamed ²MD; Samia M. Hassan ³MD; Enas A. Ablelaleem ⁴MD and Rabab M.Bakry ⁴MD.

*Corresponding Author: Hany Fawzy Ali hanyfawzy.6@azhar.edu.eg

Received for publication August 10, 2022; Accepted September 10, 2022; Published online September 10,2022.

doi: 10.21608/aimj.2022.153427.2059

Citation: Hany F., Rabab A. and Samia M.et al The genetic influence of VEGFA single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) (rs1570360) on the risk, disease activity, and severity in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. AIMJ. 2022; Vol.3-Issue9 : 44-51.

¹Clinical and Chemical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

² Clinical and Chemical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt

³Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

⁴*Rheumatology and Rehabilitation* Department, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Background: SLE is a chronic autoimmune illness in which numerous variables, including epigenetic, genetic, and environmental factors, interact to determine disease risk. The role of the VEGF single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in SLE patients was assessed in the current study.

Aim of The Work: TO determine whether the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) 1154G/A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) risk & disease activity.

Patients and Methods: The study included 50 SLE patients and 50 healthy controls. Full clinical assessment and laboratory investigations were done for the SLE patients. For both patients and controls, the (VEGF) 1154G/A SNP was detected using real-time PCR. Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics Damage Index (SLICC DI) and Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) were assessed for SLE patients.

Results: the minor A allele of VEGFA (1154G/A) SNP was significantly higher in SLE patients with lower SLICC when compared to the healthy subjects (p-value 0.033).

Conclusion: According to the findings, the A allele of VEGFA (rs1570360) SNP may protect against SLE and be linked to a better clinical outcome.

Keywords: VEGF; RT-PCR; gene polymorphism.

Disclosure: The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article. The Article Processing Charge was paid for by the authors.

Authorship: All authors have a substantial contribution to the article.

Copyright The Authors published by Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt. Users have the right to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles under the following conditions: Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-SA 4.0).

INTRODUCTION

Rheumatic disorders are a wide and diverse category of illnesses with an autoimmune component¹. SLE is a debilitating multisystem rheumatic illness with wide epidemiological heterogeneity² and is characterized by immune system dysfunction and phenotypic variability.³ As a result, understanding the molecular pathways involved in the pathophysiology of SLE manifestations could be important for developing more effective treatment and prophylactic interventions.

SLE is a chronic autoimmune disease caused by a lack of immunological tolerance and the development of autoantibodies on a long-term basis ⁵ and it is a chronic autoimmune illness in which numerous variables, including epigenetic, genetic, and environmental factors, interact to determine disease risk.6 The existence of multiple autoantibodies, which resulted in the development and deposition of immunological complexes, could be regarded as disease markers.⁷ Despite the fact that the death rate among SLE patients has dropped significantly, the disease still has a significant physical and psychological impact.8 When vascular endothelial cells are damaged and activated, the pathogenesis of SLE may begin. SLE manifests in Egypt in a wide range of clinical and immunological forms.⁹

the diversity of appearances, the Despite pathophysiology of rheumatic diseases is strikingly similar in many ways. With the help of inflammatory cytokines and growth factors, as well as the participation of vascular endothelium, inflammatory processes proliferate through many types of immune system cells and tissues.¹⁰ One factor of them is Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) which is still being studied for its impact on the genesis and progression of rheumatic illnesses.¹¹ However, in SLE patients, its serum level was noticeably elevated and may be used as a possible indicator of disease activity. VEGF may have a role in the pathogenesis of SLE, and as its concentration appears to be a measure of activity, it may be useful for disease monitoring and therapy planning.12

In individuals with knee osteoarthritis, serum and synovial Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) levels were linked to clinical, functional, radiographic, and ultrasound (U/S) severity.¹³ The VEGF family includes placental growth factor, VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, VEGF-E, and VEGF-F.¹⁴ On chromosome 6p12, the VEGF gene is present. It has eight exons. The most potent angiogenic factors include VEGF. It promotes endothelial cell migration and proliferation, which results in neovascularization and a rise in vascular permeability, and hence increases angiogenesis.11 VEGF, a member of the platelet-derived growth factor family and a crucial regulator of angiogenesis and vascular remodeling, is expressed in inflammatory cells such monocytes, lymphocytes, neutrophils, and eosinophils as well as tissues rich in blood vessels like lung tissue.¹⁶ Additionally, since inflammatory cells secrete VEGF, the inflammatory component in many disorders may be represented by it 17

In autoinflammatory illnesses, angiogenesis is a symptom of inflammatory activation, indicating histopathologic processes such as endothelial cell proliferation and migration, as well as subsequent vascular remodeling.¹⁸

Angiogenesis is a significant pathogenic mechanism in autoimmune disorders, which are characterized by immune-mediated tissue destruction. The angiogenesis modulator VEGF is highly raised in numerous autoimmune disorders, including systemic sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and SLE.¹⁹

In people with systemic sclerosis, increased levels of the potent angiogenic molecule VEGF or decreased levels of its natural inhibitors may contribute to the development of vasculopathy.²⁰ The relationship between SLE and a VEGF gene polymorphism is rarely studied.²¹

The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) 1154G/A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is associated with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) risk & disease activity.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

50 SLE patients and 50 healthy participants (normal controls) were recruited from Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Department at Beni-Suef University Hospital. All patients had previously been identified as having SLE according to European League Against Rheumatism/ American College of Rheumatology (EULAR/ACR) classification criteria (2019).²² Any patient who had known to have underlying chronic diseases other than SLE was excluded.

All study participants had comprehensive clinical examinations and full history taking. Complete blood count (CBC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), liver, and renal function tests were done for all individuals. On the other hand, complement 3 (C3), complement 4 (C4), anti-double-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid (anti-dsDNA), Antinuclear antibodies (ANA), urine analysis, and 24-hour urinary proteins were done for SLE patients only. Patients with SLE underwent renal biopsy when necessary, and the findings were categorized in accordance with the International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society (ISN/RPS) lupus nephritis diagnostic system.²³

The Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI) was used to assess SLE disease activity²⁴ while the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics Damage Index (SLICC DI) was used to assess the extent of the damage.²⁵

The research was approved by the Beni-Suef University Ethical Committee (FWA#: FWA00015574). Before being included in the study, all the patients gave their informed consent.

Detection of VEGFA SNP (rs1570360) by Real-time PCR: 3 ml of venous blood was drawn from each participant. The samples were kept at -20° C in the same vacutainer (EDTA tube) for DNA extraction. Using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, DNA extraction was conducted according to the manufacturer's procedure (cat. No 51104, QIAGEN).

The following primers were used: AGCCCGGGCCCGAGCCGCGCGTGGA[A/G] GGGCTGAGGCTCGCCTGTCCCCGCC. RT-PCR was used to detect the (VEGF) 1154G/A (rs1570360) SNP using the TaqMan allelic discrimination approach. Step-one real-time PCR of Applied Biosystems (USA) was used to execute the PCR reaction.

Statistical analysis: The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 was used. For numerical data, means, standard deviations (SD), median, and range were used. Qualitative data was represented using frequency and percentages. Pearson's Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to determine the results. Quantitative data from two groups was compared using a student t-test or Mann-Whitney test. The Bonferroni method was used to correct the p-value when multiple comparisons were made. The odds ratio (OR) and its 95 percent confidence interval (CI) were obtained for risk estimation using logistic regression.

RESULTS

SLE patients had a mean age of 39.2 ± 9.4 years, with 35(70%) females and 15(30%) males, while the control group had a mean age of 40.1 ± 13 years, with 36(72%) females and 14(28%) males. All clinical findings and laboratory investigations of SLE patients are summarized in table-1. The number of patients with renal disorder was 23 (46%), class 2 were 10 patients (20.0%), class 3 were 3 patients (6.0%) and class 4 were 10 patients (20.0%).

Clinical Findings	Mean±SD / Number (%)	Lab. investigations	Mean±SD / Number (%)
Disease duration (months)	45.8 ± 47.2	Hb(gm/dl)	10.6±1.8
Fever	9 (18%)	TLC (10 ³ /uL)	5.96±2.76
Malar rash	42 (64%)	Platelets(10 ³ /uL)	263.0±107.8
Discoid rash	2 (4%)	ESR (mm/hr)	66.1±33.3
Photosensitivity	38 (76%)	Creatinine(mg/dl)	1.02±0.22
Oral ulcers	29 (58%)	24 hrs urinary protein (mg/L)	1567.7±2557.1
Alopecia	15 (30%)	C3 (mg/dl)	83±42.8
Arthritis/arthralgia	28 (56%)	C4 (mg/dl)	15.7±10.8
Renal disorders	23(46%)	Positive ANA	50 (100%)
Hematologic disorders	17(34%)	Anti-dsDNA (+ve)	27 (54 %)
Serositis	17(34%)	SLEDAI	18±7.5
Neurologic	6 (12%)	Positive SLICC	13 (26%)
Vasculitis	4 (8%)	Positive CRP	15 (30%)
Myositis	0 (0 %)	Urea (mg/dl)	46.4 ± 27.1

Table 1: Clinical Findings & Laboratory investigations of SLE patients.

Abbreviations: anti- CCP: anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide, ESR; erythrocyte sedimentation rate, TLC: total leukocytic count, RA: rheumatoid arthritis, SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus, Hb: hemoglobin, C: complement, CRP: C-reactive protein, ANA: antinuclear antibodies, SLICC: Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics Damage Index, SLEDAI: systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index, dsDNA: double-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid.

Regarding the genetic effect of VEGFA (rs1570360) SNP on SLE patients; no statistically significant correlation was detected between VEGF -1154 SNP genotype frequency distribution under the codominant, dominant and recessive models (Table-2). However, our study revealed that the A allele was predominant in the healthy group compared to the patients with p-value 0.033 (Table-3).

On comparing the homozygous mutant type (AA) in cases and controls, it was 34% in SLE patients compared to 54% in controls. With an odds ratio of 2.193, the difference was not statistically significant (P= 0.063 and 95 % CI:0.959-5.014). While the heterozygous genotype (GA) was more prevalent in cases (8%) in comparison to controls (4%). The difference was not statistically significant P= 0.685 with an odds ratio 0.690 (95 % CI: 0.116 - 4.127) as shown in Table-2.

		Patients (SLE) N (%)	Control N (%)	OR	95% CI	p-value
Codominant	GG	29 (58%)	21 (42%)	Reference	-	-
	GA	4 (8%)	2 (4 %)	0.690	0.116-4.127	0.685
	AA	17 (34%)	27 (54 %)	2.193	0.959-5.014	0.063
Dominant	GG	29 (58.0%)	21(42%)			
	GA+AA	21(42.0%)	29 (58.0%)	0.524	0.237-1.160	0.330
Recessive	AA	17 (34.0%)	27(54.0%)			
	GA+GG	33 (66.0%)	23(46.0%)	0.439	0.196-0.984	0.132

Table 2: Risk of SLE in different genetic models of VEGF (1154G/A).

		Grou	ps	p-value	Odds	95% CI
s		SLE patients	Control		ratio	
ele	A allele	38.0%	56%	0.011		
all	(% within the group)			(Corrected	0.482	0.274-0.847
VEGF	G allele (% within the group)	62.0%	44.0%	0.033)		

Table 3: Allele frequency distribution of VEGF in SLE and control groups.

There was a statistically significant difference between the frequencies of the A allele, which was present in 38 percent of SLE patients and 56 percent of controls, and the G allele, which was present in 62 percent of SLE

patients and 44 percent of controls (p-value 0.033, odds ratio 0.482 and 95 % CI: 0.274 - 0.847) as demonstrated in Figure-1 and Table-3.

Regarding the distribution of genotypes according to age of the patients (P = 0.395), duration of the disease (p = 0.240), creatinine (P = 0.800), PLT (P=0.129), 24 hours urinary protein (P=0.062), SLEDAI (P=0.922) and C3 (P=0.202), there was no statistically significant difference between the patients. On the other hand, there was statistically significant difference on comparing different genotypes of VEGF -1154 SNP (G/A) on SLE patients in relation to ESR, Hb, TLC and C4 (p-value 0.007, 0.029, 0.031 and 0.009 respectively) as shown in Table-4 and Figures (2,3,4&5).



Fig. 1: VEGF (1154G/A) alleles in the SLE and control groups



Fig. 3: VEGF genotypes (1154G/A SNP) regarding C4 in SLE cases



Fig. 2: VEGF genotypes (1154G/A SNP) regarding Hb in SLE cases



Fig. 4: VEGF genotypes (1154G/A SNP) regarding TLC in SLE cases



Comparing the different genotypes (GG, GA, and AA) of the VEGFA (1154G/A) SNP in relation to SLICC, fever, vasculitis, and serositis revealed a statistically significant difference (p-value 0.024, 0.023, 0.004 and 0.047 respectively) while there were no significant differences in the presence of malar rash, discoid rash, arthritis, renal illness, hematological diseases, alopecia, myositis, or neurological disorders (p-value > 0.05) as demonstrated in Tables (5,6 &7).

Variables	VEGF(1154G/A)	Ν	Mean	SD	Median	Minimum	Maximum	P-value
	Genotypes							
Age	GG (Wild)	29	29.4	9.0	30.0	17.0	51.0	
Ũ	GA (hetero)	4	23.5	9.7	19.0	18.0	38.0	0.395
	AA (homo)	17	30.2	10.1	27.0	18.0	47.0	
Disease	GG (Wild)	29	39.7	37.0	48.0	1.0	120.0	
duration	GA (hetero)	4	24.5	27.1	24.5	1.0	48.0	0.240
	AA (homo)	17	61.4	62.2	36.0	6.0	240.0	
ESR	GG (Wild)	29	73.1	34.5	70.0	15.0	140.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	93.5	30.6	93.5	67.0	120.0	0.007*
	AA (homo)	17	47.6	22.1	50.0	15.0	85.0	
Hb	GG (Wild)	29	10.5	1.8	11.0	7.0	13.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	8.5	0.6	8.5	8.0	9.0	0.029*
	AA (homo)	17	11.3	1.5	11.0	9.0	15.0	
TLC	GG (Wild)	29	5.07	2.05	5.00	3.00	11.00	
	GA (hetero)	4	8.00	5.77	8.00	3.00	13.00	0.031*
	AA (homo)	17	7.00	2.47	6.00	3.00	11.00	
PLT	GG (Wild)	29	248.9	101.7	240.0	31.0	555.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	387.5	150.7	387.5	257.0	518.0	0.129
	AA (homo)	17	258.3	94.8	252.0	25.0	420.0	
Creatinine	GG (Wild)	29	1.03	0.19	1.00	0.80	2.00	
	GA (hetero)	4	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.800
	AA (homo)	17	1.01	0.29	1.00	0.60	2.00	
Urea	GG (Wild)	29	43.4	16.3	40.0	19.0	100.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	33.5	5.2	33.5	29.0	38.0	0.234
	AA (homo)	17	54.6	40.5	38.0	19.0	140.0	
Protein	GG (Wild)	19	1091.2	1057.2	900.0	120.0	3102.0	
24 hours	GA (hetero)	2	11200.0	0.0	11200.0	11200.0	11200.0	0.062
	AA (homo)	14	838.4	612.3	640.0	240.0	2011.0	
C3	GG (Wild)	29	82.9	48.2	89.0	14.0	194.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	51.0	27.7	51.0	27.0	75.0	0.202
	AA (homo)	17	90.6	33.2	89.0	50.0	160.0	
C4	GG (Wild)	29	13.9	12.7	8.0	2.0	49.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	11.5	5.2	11.5	7.0	16.0	0.009*
	AA (homo)	17	19.8	6.5	20.0	8.0	31.0	
SLEDAI	GG (Wild)	29	18.0	7.7	15.0	10.0	38.0	
	GA (hetero)	4	16.5	2.9	16.5	14.0	19.0	0.922
	AA (homo)	17	18.2	8.2	18.0	4.0	32.0	

Table 4: Relation between VEGF (1154G/A) SNP genotypes in SLE & different variables. *p <0.05 is significant

Parameters	Status	5	Number	GG	GA	AA	Total	p-value
			(N) / %	(Wild)	(hetero)	(homo)		
SLICC	-ve		N	19	2	16	37	
		% with	nin SLICC	51.4%	5.4%	43.2%	100.0%	
	+ve		Ν	10	2	1	13	0.024
		% with	nin SLICC	76.9%	15.4%	7.7%	100.0%	
Fever	No		Ν	20	4	17	41	
		% wi	thin Fever	48.8%	9.8%	41.5%	100.0%	
	Yes		Ν	9	0	0	9	
		% wi	thin Fever	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.023
		Total	Ν	29	4	17	50	
			% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	
			Fever					
Vasculitis	No		Ν	29	2	15	46	
	9	% within	Vasculitis	63.0%	4.3%	32.6%	100.0%	
	Yes		Ν	0	2	2	4	
	9	% within	Vasculitis	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.004
			Ν	29	4	17	50	
		tal	% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	
		To	Vasculitis					
Serositis	No		Ν	16	2	15	33	
		% within	n Serositis	48.5%	6.1%	45.5%	100.0%	
	Yes		Ν	13	2	2	17	
		% within	n Serositis	76.5%	11.8%	11.8%	100.0%	0.047
		t.	Ν	29	4	17	50	
		To al	% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	

Clinical and Chemical Pathology

			Serositis					
Renal biopsy	Non-L	Lupus	Ν	18	2	7	27	
	nephri	itis	% within	66.7%	7.4%	25.9%	100.0%	
	•		Renal					
			biopsy					
	Lupus		Ν	11	2	10	23	
	nephri	itis	% within	47.8%	8.7%	43.5%	100.0%	0.419
			Renal					
			biopsy					
		Total N		29	4	17	50	
			% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	
			Renal					
			biopsy					
CRP	-ve		Ν	7	0	8	15	
		% wi	ithin CRP	46.7%	0.0%	53.3%	100.0%	
	+ve		Ν	22	4	9	35	
		% w	ithin CRP	62.9%	11.4%	25.7%	100.0%	0.129
			N	29	4	17	50	
		otal	% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	
		Τc	CRP		-	_		
DNA	-ve		N	14	2	7	23	
		% wi	ithin DNA	60.9%	8.7%	30.4%	100.0%	
	+ve		N	15	2	10	27	0.000
		% W1	thin DNA	55.6%	7.4%	37.0%	100.0%	0.908
		_	N	29	4	17	50	
		ota	% within	58.0%	8.0%	34.0%	100.0%	
		Ĕ	DNA					
Table 5: VEGF (1154G/	A) polyn	norphism geno	types in rela	tion to differe	nt parameters.		
Parameters	St	tatus	Number	GG	GA	AA	Total	p-value
			(N) / %	(Wild)	(hetero)	(homo)		
Malar rash	N	0	N	3	0	5	8	
	%	6 within	Malar rash	37.5%	0.0%	62.5%	100.0%	0.209
	Y	es	N	26	4	12	42	
0.1.1	%	within I	Malar rash	61.9%	9.5%	28.6%	100.0%	
Oral ulcers	N	0	N	14	2	5	21	
	%	6 within	oral ulcers	66.7%	9.5%	23.8%	100.0%	0.457
	Y	es	N	15	2	12	29	
	%	o within	oral ulcers	51.7%	6.9%	41.4%	100.0%	
Alopecia	N	0	N ·	23	2	10	35	0.000
	%	within A	Alopecia	65.7%	5.7%	28.6%	100.0%	0.209
	Ŷ	es	IN .	6	2	/	15	
Nourological	% N	o W1tn1n	Alopecia	40.0%		AC ' / D/		
Neurological	IN	-	NT	27	15.5%	46.7%	100.0%	
disorders		0	N	27	4	46.7% 13 20.5%	100.0%	
	Ŷ	0 % within dis	N neurological	27 61.4%	4 9.1%	46.7% 13 29.5%	100.0% 44 100.0%	0.217
	v	o % within dis	N neurological orders	27 61.4%	4 9.1%	46.7% 13 29.5%	100.0% 44 100.0%	0.217
	Y	o % within dis es % within	N neurological orders N	27 61.4% 2 33.3%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0	46.7% 13 29.5% 4	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0%	0.217
	Y	o % within dis es % within	N neurological orders N neurological orders	27 61.4% 2 33.3%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0%	0.217
Discoid rash	Y 9	o % within dis es % within dis	N neurological orders N neurological orders N	27 61.4% 2 33.3%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48	0.217
Discoid rash	Y 9 N	o % within dis es % within dis o within c	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0%	0.217
Discoid rash	Y 9 N % V	o % within dis es % within dis o within c	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2	0.217
Discoid rash	Y 9 N % Y	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0%	0.217
Discoid rash	Y 9 N % Y %	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0%	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22	0.217
Discoid rash Arthritis	Y 9 N % Y % N	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % withir	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0%	0.217
Discoid rash Arthritis	Y 9 N % Y % N	o % within dis % within dis o within c es within c o % withir es	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N a rathritis N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17	13.3% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28	0.217 0.911
Discoid rash Arthritis	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % within es % withir	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N n arthritis N n arthritis	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0%	0.217 0.911
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % within es % within	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N a arthritis N n arthritis N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 22 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33	0.217 0.911
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % within es % withir es % within o o within li	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0%	0.217 0.911
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % within es % withir o o within l dis	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 22 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0%	0.217 0.911 0.733
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es within c o % withir es % within o within l dis ses	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6% 10	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4% 5	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0% 17	0.217 0.911 0.733
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es % within c % within o % within dis es % within 1 dis	N neurological orders N neurological orders N liscoid rash N liscoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6% 10 58.8%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1% 2 11.8%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4% 5 29.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0% 17 100.0%	0.217 0.911 0.733
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es % within c o % within c % within 1 dis es b within 1 dis o	N neurological orders N neurological orders N discoid rash N discoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6% 10 58.8%	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1% 2 11.8%	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4% 5 29.4%	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0% 17 100.0%	0.217 0.911 0.733
Discoid rash Arthritis Hematological diseases	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	o % within dis es % within dis o within c es % within c o % within c o % within 1 dis es within 1 dis o within 1 dis o % within es	N neurological orders N neurological orders N discoid rash N discoid rash N arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N n arthritis N mematological seases N mematological seases N	27 61.4% 2 33.3% 27 56.3% 2 100.0% 12 54.5% 17 60.7% 19 57.6% 10 58.8% 29	13.5% 4 9.1% 0 0.0% 4 8.3% 0 0.0% 2 9.1% 2 7.1% 2 6.1% 2 11.8% 4	46.7% 13 29.5% 4 66.7% 17 35.4% 0 0.0% 8 36.4% 9 32.1% 12 36.4% 5 29.4% 17	100.0% 44 100.0% 6 100.0% 48 100.0% 2 100.0% 22 100.0% 28 100.0% 33 100.0% 17 100.0% 50	0.217 0.911 0.733

 Table 6: VEGF (1154G/A) polymorphism genotypes in relation to different parameters.

DISCUSSION

SLE is an autoimmune disorder caused by defects in the congenital and adaptive immunological system, including clonal proliferation & amplification of autoreactive lymphocytes, development of autoantibodies, and elevated levels of several cytokines and other pro-inflammatory mediators. SLE develops as a result of a vicious cycle including autoantigen exposure followed by production of autoantibody, persistent inflammation, and tissue destruction and is influenced by genetic and environmental variables.²⁶

VEGF gene polymorphism can impact VEGF activation, and some studies have linked VEGF gene polymorphism to SLE risk.²⁷ Therefore, our goal was to assess the genetic impact of the VEGFA (rs1570360) SNP on the risk of SLE incidence.

Regarding how VEGFA -1154 SNP affects SLE patients genetically, our results revealed no significant correlation between the SNP and risk of SLE in any of the three genetic models: codominant (p=0.685 & 0.63), dominant and recessive (p=0.33, and p=0.132; respectively). However, the analysis of the allele frequency distribution of the A and G alleles in SLE patients in comparison to the control group revealed a significant increase (p-value 0.033) in the percentage of the A allele compared to the G allele in the control group which may indicate that the minor A allele may have a protective role in the risk of SLE.

Our results regarding the frequency distribution of the genotype of VEGFA-1154 SNP in SLE are in accordance with the results of other studies done by Soltani et al.⁸ Also, the results of the present study could be supported by Saravani et al who reported that genotypes of VEGF were observed to be SLE-protective.²⁸

The present study demonstrated the absence of a significant correlation between the VEGF (rs699947) SNP and the activity of the disease in SLE patients as defined by the SLEDAI (p=0.922). We examined the association between the VEGFA -1154 SNP and SLE disease severity as indicated by the SLICC damage score. Our results implicated that the presence of A allele might be linked to a less severe status in SLE patients (p-value 0.024).

There is a strong correlation between disease activity and the fall in C3 and C4 levels, which may not be true in all patients.²⁹ In the current study, we detected a significant difference in the mean C4 values in SLE, where the presence of the minor A allele is significantly associated with higher mean C4 levels compared to the G allele (p-value 0.009). While in the case of mean C3 levels in SLE in relation to the SNP, although the presence of the minor A allele is linked to greater mean C3 levels, however, the difference failed to be statistically significant (p-value 0.202).

On the other hand, Wenzhuang and his colleagues in their meta-analysis study proved that there was no correlation between the risk of SLE and the VEGF gene polymorphism. It should be confirmed, however, by additional research.²⁷

Sample size, different methods of analysis, and ethnic differences could explain the discrepancies between our results which reveal that the minor A allele of the VEGFA-1154 SNP has a protective role in SLE and that its presence in SLE patients may be linked to less serious illness and the findings of other studies.

The small sample size of this study is one of its limitations, reducing its statistical power. In addition, detection of one SNP (VEGFA 1154G/A) only was investigated.

CONCLUSION

The presence of the A allele of the gene of VEGFA-1154 is greater in normal individuals compared to SLE patients, suggesting that its presence may give a protection against SLE. The presence of the A allele is associated with decreased ESR, SLICC/ACR damage scores, fever, vasculitis and higher C4 suggesting that it may be linked to reduced disease activity and severity.

Conflict of interest : none

REFERENCES

- Raciborski F, Kłak A, Kwiatkowska B, et al. Diagnostic delays in rheumatic diseases with associated arthritis. *Reumatologia*. 2017;55(4):169-76.
- Danchenko N, Satia JA, Anthony MS. Epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus: a comparison of worldwide disease burden. *Lupus*. 2006/05/01 2006;15(5):308-18.
- Lisnevskaia L, Murphy G, Isenberg D. Systemic lupus erythematosus. *Lancet (London, England)*. Nov 22 2014;384(9957):1878-88.
- Aterido A, Julià A, Carreira P, et al. Genome-wide pathway analysis identifies VEGF pathway association with oral ulceration in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*. 2017;19(1):138.
- Hoang TTT, Ichinose K, Morimoto S, Furukawa K, Le LHT, Kawakami A. Measurement of antisuprabasin antibodies, multiple cytokines and chemokines as potential predictive biomarkers for neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clinical Immunology*. 2022;237:108980.
- Foma AM, Aslani S, Karami J, Jamshidi A, Mahmoudi M. Epigenetic involvement in etiopathogenesis and implications in treatment of systemic lupus erythematous. *Inflammation research* : official journal of the European Histamine Research Society ... [et al.]. Dec 2017;66(12):1057-73.
- Zhang L, Guan C, Ye Z, Lu Y. Unilateral branch retinal artery occlusion in a patient with systemic lupus erythematosus: A case report. *Medicine*. 2022;101(10):e29005.

- Soltani S, Aslani S, Faezi ST, Jamshidi A, Farhadi E, Mahmoudi M. Association of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A gene polymorphisms with susceptibility to Systemic lupus erythematosus in Iranian population. *Rheumatology Research*. 2019;4(3):109-20.
- 9. Gheita TA, Noor RA, Abualfadl E, et al. Adult systemic lupus erythematosus in Egypt: The nation-wide spectrum of 3661 patients and world-wide standpoint. *Lupus*. Aug 2021;30(9):1526-35.
- 10. Anaya JM, Shoenfeld Y, Buttgereit F, Gonzalez-Gay MA. Autoimmune rheumatic diseases. *BioMed research international*. 2014;2014:952159.
- 11. Choi J, Leung PS, Bowlus C, Gershwin ME. IL-35 and Autoimmunity: a Comprehensive Perspective. *Clinical reviews in allergy & immunology*. Dec 2015;49(3):327-32.
- 12. El-Gazzar II, Ibrahim SE, El-Sawy WS, Fathi HM, Eissa AH. Assessment of vascular endothelial growth factor in systemic lupus erythematosus patients with anti-phospholipid syndrome. *The Egyptian Rheumatologist.* 2019;41(1):41-5.
- El-Najjar AR, Ezzeldin N, Khalil SS, El-Gerby KM, Alazizi NM, Ibraheem HA. Vascular endothelial growth factor and colour Doppler ultrasonography in knee osteoarthritis: Relation to pain and physical function. *The Egyptian Rheumatologist*. 2019;41(2):139-43.
- 14. Melincovici CS, Boşca AB, Şuşman S, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) - key factor in normal and pathological angiogenesis. *Romanian journal of morphology and embryology = Revue roumaine de morphologie et embryologie.* 2018;59(2):455-67.
- 15. Salih I, Omran R. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor/Vascular Permeability Factor and VEGF Gene Polymorphisms is Detectable Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*. 2019;10:924.
- Gao X, Wang X, Jiao N, Chen J, Sun D. Association of VEGFA polymorphisms with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Chinese Han and Mongolian populations. *Experimental physiology*. 2021; 106(8):1839-48.
- 17. Yi J-P, Wu Y-Z, Yu N, Yu Z-W, Xie F-Y, Yuan Q. VEGF Gene Polymorphisms Affect Serum Protein Levels and Alter Disease Activity and Synovial Lesions in Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Med Sci Monit.* 2016;22:316-24.
- Chen X, Hu Q-y, Wang M, et al. Serum VEGF-C as an evaluation marker of disease activity in adultonset Still's disease. *Rheumatology International*. 2022;42(1):149-57.
- 19. Zhan H, Li H, Liu C, Cheng L, Yan S, Li Y. Association of Circulating Vascular Endothelial

Growth Factor Levels With Autoimmune Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021;12.

- 20. Shenavandeh S, Tarakemeh T, Sarvestani EK, Nazarinia MA. Serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), soluble VEGF receptor-1 (sVEGFR-1) and sVEGFR-2 in systemic sclerosis patients: Relation to clinical manifestations and capillaroscopy findings. *The Egyptian Rheumatologist.* 2017; 39(1):19-24.
- 21. Ramírez-Bello J, Cadena-Sandoval D, Fragoso JM, et al. The VEGFA -1154G/A polymorphism is associated with reduced risk of rheumatoid arthritis but not with systemic lupus erythematosus in Mexican women. *The journal of gene medicine*. 2018; 20(6):e3024.
- 22. Aringer M, Costenbader K, Daikh D, et al. 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2019;78(9):1151.
- 23. Weening JJ, D'Agati VD, Schwartz MM, et al. The classification of glomerulonephritis in systemic lupus erythematosus revisited. *Kidney international*. Feb 2004;65(2):521-30.
- Gladman DD, Ibañez D, Urowitz MB. Systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index 2000. *The Journal of rheumatology*. Feb 2002;29(2):288-91.
- 25. Gladman DD, Goldsmith CH, Urowitz MB, et al. The Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics/American College of Rheumatology (SLICC/ACR) Damage Index for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus International Comparison. *The Journal of rheumatology*. Feb 2000;27(2):373-6.
- 26. Li H, Yang P. Identification of biomarkers related to neutrophils and two molecular subtypes of systemic lupus erythematosus. *BMC Medical Genomics*. 2022;15(1):162.
- Tang W, Zhou T, Zhong Z, Zhong H. Meta-analysis of associations of vascular endothelial growth factor protein levels and -634G/C polymorphism with systemic lupus erythematosus susceptibility. *BMC Medical Genetics*. 2019;20(1):46.
- 28. Saravani M, Sandoughi M, Heidary Z, et al. HIF-1α and VEGF polymorphisms and systemic lupus erythematosus susceptibility. *Meta Gene*. 2021;30:100982.
- 29. Davis P, Cumming RH, Verrier-Jones J. Relationship between anti-DNA antibodies complement consumption and circulating immune complexes in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clinical and experimental immunology*. May 1977;28(2):226-32.