

## **Al-Azhar International Medical Journal**

Volume 3 | Issue 8

Article 14

8-31-2022

# Assessment of fetal lung maturity by u/s and its impact on fetal outcome

Essam mohamed obstetrics and gyecology department ,faculty of medicine,AL-Azhar university, esamamria@gmail.com

Fahd EL-omda obstetrics and gynecology department, faculty of medicine, AL-Azhar university., dr.fahdelomda@gmail.com

Adel Elboghdady obstetrics and gynecology department ,faculty of medicine ,Al-Azhar university, dr.adelelboghdady@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://aimj.researchcommons.org/journal

Part of the Medical Sciences Commons, Obstetrics and Gynecology Commons, and the Surgery Commons

### How to Cite This Article

mohamed, Essam; EL-omda, Fahd; and Elboghdady, Adel (2022) "Assessment of fetal lung maturity by u/s and its impact on fetal outcome," *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*: Vol. 3: Iss. 8, Article 14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21608/aimj.2022.121537.1841

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar International Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. For more information, please contact dryasserhelmy@gmail.com.



\*Corresponding Author:

Essam Rizk Mahmoud Mohamed

Essam Rizk Mahmoud Mohamed <sup>1,\*</sup> MSc, Fahd Abdel-Aal El-Omda <sup>2</sup> MD and

Adel Ali El-Boghdady<sup>2</sup> MD.

ABSTRACT

esamamria@gmail.com	Background: The presence of an immature foetal lung is linked to a
<b>Received</b> for publication March 03, 2022; <b>Accepted</b> August 31, 2022; <b>Published online</b> August 31, 2022.	variety of negative consequences, including respiratory distress syndrome. (RDS). The ability to continue or postpone birth is frequently the deciding factor efficiently assess lung maturity in the foetus. <b>Aim of the work:</b> To evaluate the value of assessment of amniotic fluid
doi: 10.21608/aimj.2022.121537.1841	using ultrasonography in predicting fetal lung maturity and its impact on fetal outcome.
<b>Citation:</b> Essam R., Fahd A. and Adel A. Assessment of Fetal Lung Maturity by U/S and its Impact on Fetal Outcome. AIMJ. 2022; Vol.3-Issue8 : 81-87.	<b>Patients and methods:</b> This prospective cohort study included three hundred pregnant women. They were later divided into two groups; RDS group and non-RDS group. <b>Results:</b> Our results indicated the presence of a significant distinction between RDS group as well as non -RDS group regarding gestational age (P value=0.0005). However, there was no statistically significant
<sup>1</sup> Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Shibin El Qanatar Public Hospital, Egypt. <sup>2</sup> Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Faculty of Medicine,	difference regarding maternal age, gravidity, parity and abortion among RDS & non-RDS fetuses (P value > 0.05). Regarding the fetal biometric measurements, our results indicated a statistically significant decrease in the values of fetal biometric measurements including BPD, FL and AC among RDS group in comparison with the non-RDS group (P value < 0.001).
Department, Faculty of Mealcine, Al-Azhar University Cairo, Egypt.	<b>Conclusion:</b> Ultrasound parameters including proximal tibial epiphysis (PTE) followed by distal femoral epiphysis (DFE) then amniotic fluid free floating particles (FFP) are precise predictors in the assessment of RDS with varying degrees of performance. <b>Keywords:</b> <i>Distal femoral epiphyses; fetal lung maturity; fetal outcome.</i>
Disclosure: The authors have no finan	cial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article. The

**Disclosure:** The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article. The Article Processing Charge was paid for by the authors.

Authorship: All authors have a substantial contribution to the article.

**Copyright** The Authors published by Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt. Users have the right to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of articles under the following conditions: Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International Public License (CC BY-SA 4.0).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The liquid that surrounds a developing foetus in the amniotic sac, amniotic fluid (AF), is normally clear to pale yellow in colour. The makeup of AF is diverse, containing a variety of maternal and foetal components. The AF's composition varies with gestational age, with an average pH of 7.2 and specific gravity of 1.2. 1.0069–1.008.<sup>13</sup>

In preterm and early term infants, lung immaturity is still the leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Although gestational age (GA) is the strongest predictor of lung maturity, respiratory distress syndrome and transitory tachypnea in the newborn are not limited to premature births (34 weeks). These problems are substantially more common in late preterm (34–36 weeks' gestation) and early term (37–38 weeks' gestation) newborns than in neonates delivered at or after 39 weeks' gestation.<sup>11</sup>

Because lung immaturity is linked to insufficient pulmonary surfactant production, respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), formerly known as hyaline membrane disease, is the most prevalent cause of respiratory distress in premature newborns. With the use of prenatal steroid medication, early provision of positive airway pressure, and, in some circumstances, exogenous surfactant therapy, RDS can be avoided or its severity reduced.<sup>3</sup>

For nearly a quarter-century, Gray-level measurements, lung tissue mobility, and relative characteristics of lung-to-placenta or -liver imaging, among other things, have been utilised to attempt foetal lung ultrasound images without being invasive. These studies discovered a strong relationship between respiratory illness and death, but the diagnostic accuracy was insufficient to be useful for therapeutic purposes.<sup>4</sup>

The use of foetal ultrasonography to predict foetal lung maturity has long been suggested as a non-invasive alternative to amniocentesis. Gray-scale measurements, lung tissue motility, and the link between imaging features of foetal lung vs. placental or hepatic tissue have all been attempted utilising computer analysis of foetal lung ultrasound pictures over the last 25 years.<sup>20</sup>

Obstetrics & Gynecology

#### PATIENTS AND METHODS

**Study design and setting:** This was a prospective cohort study that was completed on 300 women coming for labour at El Hussein University and Shibin Elqantar public hospital in obstetrics and gynecology department from December 2019 to August 2021.

**Criteria for inclusion:** All women who are pregnant with a viable foetus and have an uncomplicated singleton pregnancy are eligible. Women have to be certain of their last menstrual cycle date and have it confirmed by ultrasound during the first trimester of pregnancy.

**Criteria for exclusion:** Multiple pregnancies, Malformations of the foetus ,complications (hypertension, diabetes, etc.) Macrosomic foetuses or intrauterine growth restriction Presence of meconium-stained fluid or antepartum haemorrhage.

**Ethical considerations:** Approval of ethical committee was obtained from Al-Azhar university faculty of medicine, Egypt. Verbal consent was taken from all cases before participation in this study. The nature and aims of this work were fully discussed to all women who were included in this study. No funding sources.

#### Methods:

All patients were subjected to the following:

**Detailed personal, obstetric and medical history including:** Personal history including age, smoking and level of education. Obstetric history including gravidity, parity, number of abortions, modes of delivery in previous pregnancies, first day of the last normal menstrual period and the gestational age, onset, duration. Medical history including Present or Past history

**Examination:** Vital signs are all important factors to consider. Abdominal examination for assessment of fundal level and fetal heart sounds. Palpation of the belly to detect foetal size and presentation, as well as uterine activity.

Lab assessment: All investigations obtained according to standard protocol of labour in our hospital including complete blood count, CRP and grouping, liver enzymes, kidney functions, random blood sugar, and urine analysis.

**Ultrasound evaluation:** All mothers were examined by ultrasound prenatally for assessment of amniotic fluid index, umbilical artery Doppler, assessment of estimated fetal weight & fetal maturity sign. The existence and size of epiphyseal ossification centres, placental grading (classification based on chorionic convolutions and calcifications), and other imaging measurements have all been used to determine maturity (19-21). Some mature fetuses may not have these findings at term, and some fetuses having these traits may be immature (e.g., maternal diabetes complicated by macrosomia). In general, ultrasonography assessment of gestational age in the third trimester (indirectly evaluating for maturity) is inferior to other approaches. **Technique:** Abdominal ultrasound was done using mindray DP20 with center frequency 3.5MHZ. ultrasound examination was done On the same day after their delivery, they went to the radiology department for an obstetric ultrasound scan. The same ultrasonographer performed the ultrasound examination to decrease the intraobserver variability.

Ultrasound Findings: The placenta was graded according to Grannum's categorization. Biparietal diameter (BPD): The axial section of the foetal skull was measured with callipers from the outer table to the inner table of the skull, where a clear midline echo of the thalamus and septum pellucidum could be seen. Epiphyseal centres: The foetus' lower limbs were inspected, and callipers were used to measure the distal femoral epiphysis and proximal tibial epiphysis. Amniotic fluid linear densities: Linear densities in the amniotic fluid were observed.

**Postoperative management:** Each neonate was examined by the paediatrician for the following foetal outcomes: foetal sex, weight, APGAR score at one and five minutes, signs of a respiratory problem, admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) and follow-up by the paediatrician for the duration of the hospitalisation, and any adverse neonatal morbidity or mortality up to discharge.

**Sample size calculation:** Based on previous studies who found that the adjusted the mean significant difference in the Mean FL between the RDS and the non-RDS, were in -RDS ( $1.27 \pm 0.07$ ) and non -RDS ( $1.47 \pm 0.11$ ) (Laban et al., 2015). Based on this assumption, the sample size was determined according to the using the formula:

$$n = 2 \left[ \frac{\left( Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta} \right) * \sigma}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right]^2$$

Where

n is the sample size and Z/2 is 1.96. (The key number that separates the 95 percent of the Z distribution in the middle from the 5% in the tail.)

0.84~(Z) (The critical value that separates the lower 20 percent of the Z distribution from the upper 80 percent )

= the standard deviation of the mean MCA's estimate RI equals  $0.07.\mu_1$  = mean in FL in -RDS. (1.27).

 $\mu_2$  = mean in FL in non-RDS. (1.47).

The sample size was calculated to be 300 patients in total.

#### Statistical analysis and data management

Data was collected, coded, updated, and entered into IBM SPSS version 20 (Statistical Package for Social Science). The qualitative data were given as numbers and percentages, the quantitative data with parametric distribution as mean, standard deviations, and ranges, and the quantitative data with non-parametric distribution as median with inter quartile range (IQR). When comparing two groups with qualitative data, the Chi-square test was utilised, but the Fisher exact test was used instead when the predicted count in any cell was less than 5. In the comparison of two groups with quantitative data and parametric distribution, the independent t-test was employed, while the Mann-Whitney test was used in the comparison of two groups with quantitative data and non-parametric distribution. The confidence interval was set at 95%, while the

acceptable margin of error was set at 5%. As a result, the following p-value was declared significant: Nonsignificant if the P value is greater than 0.05. (NS). Significant if the P value is less than 0.05. (S). Highly significant if the P value is less than 0.01. (HS).

			Neonatal re				
		Positive (No.=47)		Negative (No.=253)		Chi square tes	
		No	%	No	%	$\mathbf{x}^2$	p val
Maternal age	Mean $\pm$ SD	29.62	4.96	31.55	4.97	2.454	0.01
Gestational age	Mean $\pm$ SD	35.68	0.76	36.04	0.81	2.829	0.00
Residence	Rural	25	53.2%	128	50.6%	0.107	0.743
	Urban	22	46.8%	125	49.4%		
Gravidity	Once upon a time	4	8.5%	38	15.0%	5.403	0.611
	At least twice	8	17.0%	31	12.3%		
	At least three times	4	8.5%	34	13.4%		
	At least four times	6	12.8%	35	13.8%		
	At least five times	10	21.3%	30	11.9%		
	At least six occasions	5	10.6%	27	10.7%		
	a total of seven	6	12.8%	33	13.0%		
	a total of eight	4	8.5%	25	9.9%		
Parity	zero times	1	2.1%	18	7.1%	6.630	0.57
	Once upon a time	5	10.6%	44	17.4%		
	At least twice	11	23.4%	42	16.6%		
	At least three times	7	14.9%	32	12.6%		
	At least four times	4	8.5%	30	11.9%		
	At least five times	7	14.9%	26	10.3%		
	At least six occasions	4	8.5%	30	11.9%		
	a total of seven	5	10.6%	23	9.1%		
	a total of eight	3	6.4%	8	3.2%		
Abortion	Zero times	28	59.6%	97	38.3%	8.656	0.01
	One time	10	21.3%	106	41.9%		
	Two times	9	19.1%	50	19.8%		

Table 1: Contrast between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) and non RDS groups as regards demographic data

Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)							
		RDS (No.=47)		N-RDS .=253)	Independent t test		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Т	p value	
BPD	82.09	2.59	88.24	3.14	12.665	< 0.001	
FL	60.67	3.51	67.86	2.86	15.215	< 0.001	
AC	278.72	13.92	307.96	8.67	19.037	< 0.001	

**Table 2:** Comparison between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) and the non RDS as regards BPD, FL and AC.

		Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)						
			RDS [0.=47)	NON-RDS (No.=253)		Chi square test		
		No	%	No	%	<b>x</b> <sup>2</sup>	p value	
Placental Grading	0-I	34	72.3%	46	18.2%	59.482	< 0.001	
	II	8	17.0%	135	53.4%			
	III	5	10.6%	72	28.5%			

Table 3: Comparison between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) and non RDS as regards placental grading. Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) Negative Positive Chi square test (No.=47) (No.=253)  $\mathbf{x}^2$ % % p value No No COLON I 5 10.6% 19 7.5% 11.466 0.003 28 Π 59.6% 91 36.0% III 14 29.8% 143 56.5% Thalamic echogenicity Echogenic 13 27.7% 194 76.7% 44.528 < 0.001 Echo lucent 59 34 72.3% 23.3% 13 27.7% 70 27.7% Lung/liver echogenicity Hyper-echoic 1.648 0.439 Hypo-echoic 17 36.2% 70 27.7% 17 Iso-echoic 36.2% 113 44.7%

Table 4: Comparison between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) according thalamic echogenicity, lung/liver echogenicity and colonic grading.

	Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)								
	Positive (No.=47)			legative (I	No.=253)	Independent t test			
	Mean	SD	Me	ean	SD	Т	p value		
APGAR 1 min	2.98	0.82	5.	26	0.79	18.062	0.001		
APGAR 5 min	5.91	0.83	7.	.8	1.14	10.838	0.012		
Table 5: Comparison between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) according Apgar score.									
	Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)								
		Positive (No.=47) Negative (No.=2				Chi se	quare test		
		No	%	No	%	$\mathbf{x}^2$	p value		
NICU admission	21	44.7%	221	87.4%	46.276	< 0.001			
	Yes	26	55.3%	32	12.6%				
Mortality	No	45	95.7%	252	99.6%	5.966	0.015		

	100		10 / 0	011/0					
Table 6: Comparison between neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) according NICU admission and mortality.									
Item	AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity	-PV	+PV	P value			
FFP	0.726	61.70	83.40	92.1	40.8	0.001			
DFE	0.874	85.11	89.72	97.0	60.6	0.001			
PTE	0.925	93.62	91.30	98.7	66.7	0.001			

4.3%

Table 7: Cut of point, sensitivity and specificity of FFP, DFE and PTE among RDS.

2

This table shows that in FFP, DFE and PTE:

Its sensitivity is 61.7%, 85.11% and 93.62%

Its specificity is 83.4%, 89.72% and 91.30%

The positive predictive value is 40.8%. 60.6% and 66.7%

Yes

The negative predictive value is 92.1%, 97% and 98.7%

#### DISCUSSION

The pulmonary system is one of the last foetal organ systems to mature in terms of both function and structure. Preterm delivery can result in substantial newborn morbidity or mortality due to the immature pulmonary system's inability to sufficiently oxygenate the preterm neonate.<sup>6</sup>

Respiratory distress syndrome in newborns (RDS) is considered as their lungs are immature, it is a primary cause of mortality and morbidity in newborns. It is more common in neonates that are born prematurely, and it is linked to inversely with gestational age at birth.<sup>18</sup> The decision to continue or delay delivery depends usually on the ability to efficiently assess fetal lung maturity for ensuring the protection of the fetus from risks such as sequelae of respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), necrotizing enterocolitis, intraventricular hemorrhage, patent ductus arteriosus and neonatal sepsis as much as possible.<sup>16, 11</sup>

0.4%

Fetal lung maturity can be assessed by biochemical tests such as lecithin/sphingomyelin ratio, absence or presence of phosphatidyl glycerol and amniotic liquid, fluorescent polarization, foam stability or shake test lamellar body that all rely on amniocentesis, which is an invasive method to determine fetal lung maturity that could cause complications.<sup>13</sup>

Ultrasound being the easiest, for routine obstetric scanning, a simple, common, non-invasive, and cost-effective equipment is available. Ultrasound measures include bi-parietal diameter, femur length, epiphyseal centres of the lower limb, placental grading, colon grading, and free-floating particles in the amniotic fluid for assessing foetal lung maturity. with controversial diagnostic accuracy.<sup>4, 20</sup>

Therefore, the goal of our research was to assess the value of assessment of amniotic fluid using ultrasonography in predicting fetal lung maturity and its impact on fetal outcome.

This prospective cohort study included three hundred pregnant women. They were later divided into two groups; RDS group and non-RDS group.

Regarding the demographic characteristics of the studied cases, our results revealed the presence of a significant distinction between RDS group as well as non -RDS group regarding gestational age (P value=0.0005). There was no statistically significant difference as regards maternal age, gravidity, parity and abortion among RDS & non-RDS fetuses as illustrated in Table (1).

Such findings were in agreement with studies by *Wang*  $^{28}$  *et al.* that indicated that with increasing gestational age, the risk of RDS reduced and Shapiro-Mendoza and Lackritz  $^{25}$  study that In comparison to babies born at term, babies born between the 34th and 37th week of pregnancy had a higher risk of RDS. Additionally, Abdulla et al.<sup>3</sup> study indicated that Incidence of RDS was Infants born before 40 weeks gestation have a 12 percent chance of dying, whereas those born after 40 weeks have a 0% chance. A previous study by Mehrabadi et al.<sup>19</sup> indicated that early gestation RDS was substantially linked with maternal age (35 years) but protective for late gestation RDS.

Our results indicated a statistically significant decrease in the values of fetal biometric measurements including BPD, FL and AC among RDS group in comparison with the non-RDS group (P value<0.001) as illustrated in Table (2).

Such findings were in agreement with a study by Kandil et al.<sup>15</sup> indicated the decreased BPD, FL, and AC among RDS group and that by using the fetal biometry values in the prediction of fetal pulmonary maturity, a BPD between 8.28 and 9.35 cm, AC between 29.5 and 32.2 cm, and FL between 6.27 and 7.21 cm correlated with mature fetal lungs.

It was indicated that BPD can be used for the timetable in BPD bigger than 9.2 cm and elective caesarean section showed 90% of foetuses have reached lung maturity. with high specificity and sensitivity (92% and 87% respectively) and In 80% of the cases, BPD 8.7 cm revealed RDS.<sup>19</sup>

Pallavi et al.<sup>21</sup> indicated that BPD is an accurate foetal lung maturity marker because the BPD and Correlation > 9.0 cm .The predictability of a positive shake test (in amniocentesis) was 100 percent. Our results indicated a statistically significant difference between RDS group and non-RDS group regarding

placental grading (P value<0.001) as illustrated in Table (3).

Such findings were in agreement with Das et al.<sup>7</sup> that indicated that the respiratory distress syndrome was found to be associated with grade 0 and grade I placenta and no cases were detected in grade II or grade III placenta.

Previous studies by Keikhaie et al. and Sharma et al.<sup>14, 26</sup> indicated that grade III placenta was associated with good fetal pulmonary maturity and the absence of respiratory distress syndrome.

Our results indicated a statistically significant decrease in free-floating particles (FFP), distal femoral epiphysis (DFE) and proximal tibial epiphysis (PTE) values among RDS group in comparison with the non-RDS group (P value<0.001) as illustrated in Table (4).

Such findings are in agreement with Abdou et al.<sup>3</sup> that indicated that the mean epiphyseal ossification centers were significantly low in neonatal with respiratory distress syndrome. A previous study by Elsaeed et al.<sup>9</sup> indicated that As long as the diameter is at least 1 mm.

ultrasonography may identify each epiphyses ossification centre at a much earlier stage.However, Kandil et al. <sup>15</sup>indicated that even though the detection of ossification Although the presence of centres indicates foetal lung maturity, their absence does not rule out lung maturity. Furthermore, to prevent being confused with other neighbouring cartilaginous structures, these epiphyseal foci must be recognised with extreme caution. In difficult pregnancies, DFE detection of any size may not be related with foetal lung maturity.

Our results indicated a difference that is statistically significant between RDS group as well as non -RDS group regarding colon (p value=0.003) and thalamic echogenicity (P value<0.001), however, non-statistically significant between both groups regarding Lung/liver echogenicity as illustrated in Table (5).

Such findings were in agreement with studies by Kandil et al.<sup>15</sup> that There was no evidence of a link between prenatal lung echogenicity and liver maturity or newborn RDS.. The same research indicated thalamic echogenicity was found to be a reliable predictor of foetal lung maturity with a 77% and 79% for sensitivity and specificity, respectively and Keikhaie et al.<sup>14</sup> study that the foetal intestine was divided into four phases. And Grade 4 foetal intestine was found to be a predictor of foetal lung maturity with a good specificity and a low sensitivity (62.5%) (98.9 percent).

A previous study by Rasheed et al. <sup>24</sup> indicated that the examination of the echogenic thalamus is advantageous and can be used as a foetal lung maturity measure.

Our results indicated a statistically significant difference between RDS group and non-RDS group regarding birth weight (P value<0.001) and no significant difference between birth groups regarding fetal sex (P value > 0.05) as illustrated in Table (6).

Condò et al.<sup>6</sup> study that indicated that the biggest risk factor for RDS is a low birth weight, but contrarily with our results, the same study indicated that the risk of RDS was high when fetal sex is male.

Our findings were in disagreement with a previous study by Peacock et al.<sup>23</sup> that indicated that Male preterm newborns are more likely than girls of the same gestational age to develop RDS and require more immediate respiratory and circulatory care. Furthermore, men have been linked to a higher risk of neonatal mortality and respiratory disease.

Such high risk was explained as caused by During the foetal phase, oestrogen regulates surfactant protein synthesis and promotes certain growth factors.<sup>12</sup>

Our results indicated the presence of significant decrease in APGAR score at one minute and five minutes post birth among RDS group in comparison with the non-RDS group (P value<0.001) as illustrated in Table (7).

Such results are in agreement with Wang et al.<sup>28</sup> that indicated that an At 5 minutes after birth, the Apgar score was 7. revealed negative role on the development of RDS and indicated poor conditions after delivery due to the impairment of the respiratory function that exacerbates hypoxia

Thavarajah et al.<sup>27</sup> study indicated that Both low and intermediate Apgar scores were substantially related with infant issues such as respiratory distress, feeding difficulty, hypothermia, and convulsions.

A retrospective cohort study by Chen et al.<sup>5</sup> indicated Male infants with low gestational ages and low Apgar scores died at a higher rate than female neonates due to RDS syndrome.

Our results indicated a statistically significant increase in NICU admission, mortality rate and hospital stay among RDS group in comparison with the non-RDS group.

Such findings were in agreement with Edwards et al.<sup>8</sup> that indicated that neonatal respiratory distress is a major reason for increased morbidity and mortality among newborn, causing increased infants being admitted to neonatal units so early recognition of signs and symptoms of neonatal respiratory distress and proper management could improve the prognosis of these babies.

Our results indicated that ultrasound parameters are precise predictors in the assessment of RDS including proximal tibial epiphysis (PTE) followed by distal femoral epiphysis (DFE) then amniotic fluid free floating particles (FFP) that showed sensitivity values (93.62%, 85.11%, and 61.7%) respectively and specificity values (91.30%, 89.72% and 83.4%) respectively.

Such findings were in agreement with a recent study by Kandil et al.<sup>15</sup> that indicated that ultrasound parameters are good predictors in the assessment of RDS including epiphyses of the proximal tibia that the distal femoral epiphyses had the highest sensitivity (91%) and specificity (95%) after the proximal femoral epiphyses. showed The sensitivity is 90% and the specificity is 84 percent.

A previous study by Patil et al. <sup>22</sup>indicated that The foetal tibial epiphysis exhibited the best sensitivity (98.7%), specificity (88.8%), and accuracy of any of the tests (97.7 percent) followed by fetal femoral epiphysis that showed high sensitivity (92.8%), whereas low specificity (60%) and a high level of precision (91.0 percent) in the evaluation of RDS.

#### CONCLUSION

Ultrasound parameters including proximal tibial epiphysis (PTE) followed by distal femoral epiphysis (DFE) then amniotic fluid free floating particles (FFP) are precise predictors in the assessment of RDS with varying degrees of performance.

Conflict of interest : none

#### REFERENCES

- 1-Abd EL-Fattah, A., Yosry, L., Hammour, Z. and Chararah, D.A: Accuracy Of Ultrasound Prediction Of Fetal Maturity By Ossification Center Of Long Bones in The Cases Of Elective Cesarean Section At 38 Week Gestation. *The Egyptian Journal of Fertility* of Sterility. 2018; 22 (2): 2-12.
- 2-Abdou, A. M., Badr, M. S., Helal, K. F., Rafeek, M. E., Abdelrhman, A. A., & Kotb, M: Diagnostic accuracy of lamellar body count as a predictor of fetal lung maturity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2020; X, 5, 100059.
- 3-Abdulla, TN., Hassan, QA. and Ameen, BA:Prediction of Fetal Lung Maturity by Ultrasonic Thalamic Echogenicity and Ossification Centers of Fetal Femur and Tibia. *Italian Journal of Gynaecology& Obstetrics*. 2018; 30 (4): 29-36.
- 4-Bonet-Carne, E., Palacio M., Cobo, T., et al: Quantitative ultrasound texture analysis of fetal lungs to predict neonatal respiratory morbidity. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2015; 45 (4): 427-433.
- 5-Chen, C., Tian, T., Liu, L., Zhang, J. and Fu, H: Gender-related efficacy of pulmonary surfactant in infants with respiratory distress syndrome: A STROBE compliant study. *Medicine*. 2018; 97 (17).
- 6-Condò, V., Cipriani, S., Colnaghi, M., Bellù, R., Zanini, R., Bulfoni, C., Parazzini, F. and Mosca, F: Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: are risk factors the same in preterm and term infants? *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2017; 30 (11): 1267-72.
- 7-Das, L., Satapathy, U. and Rath, J: Correlation between Ultrasonographic Placental Maturation Study and Pregnancy Outcome, 2017; 118:1458-67.

- 9-Elsaeed, G., Hassanin, E. and Abdelhamid, A: Sonographic detection of the distal femoral epiphyseal ossification center and its relation to the fetal age and fetal weight. *Sci J.* 2017; 10 (9): 77-81.
- 10-Fehlmann, E., Tapia, J.L., Fernandez, R., Bancalari, A., Fabres, J., D'Apremont, I., Garcia-Zattera, M.J., Grandi, C. and JM, C.C: Impact of respiratory distress syndrome in very low birth weight infants: a multicenter South-American study. *Archivos* argentinos de pediatria. 2010; 108 (5): 393-400.
- 11-Ghorayeb, S.R., Bracero, L.A., Blitz, M.J., Rahman, Z. and Lesser, M.L: Quantitative ultrasound texture analysis for differentiating preterm from term fetal lungs. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2017; 36 (7): 1437-43.
- 12-Gortner, L., Shen, J. and Tutdibi, E: Sexual dimorphism of neonatal lung development. *Klinische Pädiatrie*. 2013; 225 (02): 64-9.
- 13-Guan, Y., Li, S., Luo, G., Wang, C., Norwitz, E. R., Fu, Q. & Zhu, J: The role of doppler waveforms in the fetal main pulmonary artery in the prediction of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound*. 2015; 43(6): 375-83.
- 14-Kaluarachchi, A., Peiris, GR., Jayawardena, AK. et al: Hyperechoic amniotic fluid in a term pregnancy. *Journal of family medicine and primary care*. 2018; 7 (3): 635-7.
- 15-Kandil, R.A., El Shafiey, M.H. and Alarabawy, R.A: Values and validity of fetal parameters by ultrasound and Doppler as markers of fetal lung maturity. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine*. 2021; 52 (1): 1-10.
- 16-Kars, B., Karsidag, A.Y.K., Buyukbayrak, E.E., Telatar, B., Turan, C. and UNAL, O: Evaluation of fetal lung maturity by turbidity testing and tap test, 2011; *J Turk Soc Obstet Gynecol.* 8 (1): 25-31.
- 17-Keikhaie, K.R., Kahkhaie, K.R., Mohammadi, N., Amjadi, N., Forg, A.A. and Ramazani, A.A: Relationship between ultrasonic marker of fetal lung maturity and lamellar body count. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2017; 109 (4): 294-8.
- 18-Khanipouyani, F., Abbasalizade, F., Abbasalizade, S., Fardiazar, Z., Ghaffari, S. and Sarbakhshi, P: Predicting fetal lung maturity using the fetal main pulmonary artery doppler indices, *Acta Medica Mediterranea*. 2016;32 (Specia): 921-6.

- 19-Mehrabadi, A., Lisonkova, S. and Joseph, K.S: Heterogeneity of respiratory distress syndrome: risk factors and morbidity associated with early and late gestation disease. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2016; 16 (1): 1-10.
- 20-Palacio, M., Bonet-Carne, E., Cobo, T., Perez-Moreno, A., Sabrià, J., Richter, J., Kacerovsky, M., Jacobsson, B., García-Posada, R.A., Bugatto, F. and Santisteve, R: Prediction of neonatal respiratory morbidity by quantitative ultrasound lung texture analysis: a multicenter study. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*.2017; 217 (2): 196-e1.
- 21-Pallavi, L., Sushil, K., Lakhkar, D.L., Soniya, D., Abhijeet, I. and Pooja, S: Assessment of Fetal Lung Maturity by Ultrasonography. *Annals of International Medical and Dental Research.* 2017; 3 (4): p.1.
- 22-Patil, S.D., Patil, S.V., Kanamadi, S., Nimbal, V. and Yeli, R: A Clinical Study of Fetal Lung Maturity Correlated by Various USG Parameters. *Parity*. 2020; 5 (11): 12-4.
- 23-Peacock, J.L., Marston, L., Marlow, N., Calvert, S.A. and Greenough, A: Neonatal and infant outcome in boys and girls born very prematurely. *Pediatric research.* 2012; 71 (3): 305-10.
- 24-Rasheed, F.A., Zahraa'M, A.S. and Hussain, S.A: Evaluation of thalamus echogenicity by ultrasound as a marker of fetal lung maturity. *Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2012; 2 (03): p.270.
- 25-Shapiro-Mendoza, C.K. and Lackritz, E.M: Epidemiology of late and moderate preterm birth. *In Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*. 2013; Vol. 17, No. 3, 120-5.
- 26-Sharma, D., Padmavathi, I. V., Tabatabaii, S. A., & Farahbakhsh, N: Late preterm: a new high risk group in neonatology. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2019; 1-14.
- 27-Thavarajah, H., Flatley, C. and Kumar, S: The relationship between the five minute Apgar score, mode of birth and neonatal outcomes. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2018; 31 (10): 1335-41.
- 28-Wang, J., Yan, J., Han, J., Ning, Y. and Yan, C: Risk factors for respiratory distress syndrome among Chinese infants of 34-42 weeks gestational age: a multi-center observational study. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2019; 12 (4): 60-4354.

Obstetrics & Gynecology