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Evaluation of The Effectiveness of Sigmoid Resection for Children with Intractable Idiopathic Constipation with Megarectosigmoid

Mohamed Magid, MD, Amin Abo Kifa, MD, Mohamed Mahfouz, MD.

ABSTRACT

Background: Constipation is the most common childhood condition occurring in about 3% of children. Patients who have intractable idiopathic or functional constipation (FC) with pseudo encopresis that is unresponsive to bowel management program with no underlying organic cause constitutes a serious medicosocial problem in the pediatric age group.

Aim of the work: Evaluation of effectiveness of sigmoidectomy with partial proctectomy in solving the problem of intractable idiopathic constipation in children with megarectosigmoid.

Patients and methods: This was a Prospective case series study for evaluation of the effect of sigmoid resection with partial proctectomy in improving children with intractable idiopathic constipation with megarectosigmoid. The study was conducted on 20 patients. That was selected from more than 250 of idiopathic constipation children. All patients were operated at the Pediatric Surgery Department, Al-Azhar University Hospitals. The study was of 30 months duration (Feb 2018-July 2020). We used Rome III criteria and Pediatric Incontinence Constipation Score to evaluate constipation and incontinence pre and post operatively. Detailed history taking, clinical examination (including rectal examination) and investigations (including laboratory tests, radiologic examinations, rectal biopsy).

Results: The study included 20 patients, 7 females and 13 males. Patients ages ranged from 4-13 years (mean=7.2). Constipation was the main complain in all patients followed by soiling. Sigmoidectomy with partial proctectomy recorded 90% success in improving constipation and consequently soiling; the results of Sigmoidectomy without partial proctectomy were disappointing.

Conclusion: Rectosigmoidectomy is the best surgical management for the children suffering from intractable functional constipation with Megarectosigmoid. Partial removal of the rectum is very crucial in improving results.

Keywords: Functional constipation; Idiopathic constipation; Megarectosigmoid; Sigmoidectomy, Rectosigmoidectomy; Intractable constipation; Encopresis.

INTRODUCTION

Constipation is one of the most common childhood problems occurring in about 3% of children. Patients who have intractable idiopathic constipation with or without encopresis that is unresponsive to Bowel Management Program (BMP) with no underlying organic cause are the main concern of this study. Those children usually suffer from fecal soiling, abdominal pain, abdominal distension, with a serious psychological problems of severe social rejection that often results in a poor quality of life and delayed social development. Reports on surgical treatment of (FC) with Megarectosigmoid by Sigmoidectomy or Rectosigmoidectomy to reduce the redundant dilated poorly functioning colonic length, has shown a subsequent reduction of the transit time leading to the reduction or even abolishing the use of laxatives and consequently markedly improves the state of constipation and reduces the incidence of fecal impaction and pseudo-encopresis.

The aim of this work was to evaluate the effectiveness of Sigmoidectomy with or without partial removal of the rectum in solving the problem of intractable FC in children with Megarectosigmoid.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a case series study conducted on 20 patients suffered from intractable FC, after failure of all medical management for at least 6 months. Those 20 patients were selected from more than 250 patients of functional constipation. All patients were operated at Pediatric Surgery Department, Al-Azhar University Hospitals. This study was conducted over 30 months from February 2018 to July 2020.

Inclusion Criteria: All patients were complaining of intractable (resistant to be treated by the BMP for up to 6 months) idiopathic constipation (no obvious
cause could be detected although the performance of a very sophisticated investigations) with Megarectosigmoid with or without Pseudo-encopresis, and their ages were more than 4 years. Exclusion Criteria: Patients were excluded if they had constipation without Megarectosigmoid or with any evidence of organic cause of constipation or responded to the Bowel Management Program.

All Patients were subjected to History taking and full clinical examination including a through rectal examination for search of any sign of organic cause.

Investigations: All patients were subjected to Plain x-ray erect, Contrast enema without preparation for exclusion of Hirschsprung disease and measuring the Recto-Pelvic Ratio (RPR) in all cases by dividing the diameter of the rectal width by the diameter of the linea transversa of the pelvis. This method provides objective and reproducible values for the size of the rectum. An RPR above 0.61 suggests a megarectum with a mean of 0.68 in all functional constipation patients. Defecography in cooperative 14 patients was done to search for any associated acquired problems as rectoceles, or perineal descent syndrome. EMG was done to all cases, if showed marked muscle weakness. MRI pelvic floor muscles and spine was done to rule out true incontinence. Rectal biopsy was done to all cases before Sigmoidectomy. Anal Manometry was done to the suspicious cases to evaluate the Recto anal Reflexes, Preoperative work up such as Complete blood count and coagulation profile was done before surgery.

Scoring Method: we used the Pediatric Incontinence Constipation Score (PICS) and the Rome III Criteria to evaluate the clinical condition of our patients pre and post-operatively.

The scoring system is based on a questioner answered by the childcare giver for every answer the patient is awarded a score that later on can give us an idea about the state of Incontinence and constipation regarding the PICS, while in Rome III Criteria the description of constipation was evaluated accordingly.

Methods: We used a step wise way in dealing with our patient (Figure-1), which is better showed in Figure (1). Bowel Management Program was offered to all patients despite their previous managements. We also followed the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines. This Program consisted of 3 lines of managements (Dietary, Medication and Psychological).

Medication management consists of 3 steps (Disimpaction through repeated enemas, laxative trials and Maintenance). All patients followed a strict high fiber diet (Dietary) with psychological management (Toileting behavior, Psychological support, Reward Scheme). Patients that failed all our trials for medical management (for at least 6 months), that were submitted to the surgical treatment by using the concept of Sigmoidectomy with or without Partial Proctectomy (Rectosigmoidectomy). The aim of all the techniques was to resect most of the redundant (dilated, elongated, poorly functioning sigmoid colon) with partial removal of the rectum. Three surgical techniques were used, Open Rectosigmoidectomy, Transanal Pull-through Soave, and Laparoscopic Assisted Transanal Colectomy (or Needlescopic).

**Statistical Analysis:**

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) Qualitative data were described using number and percent. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to verify the normality of distribution Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean, standard deviation, median and interquartile range (IQR). Friedman test for abnormally distributed quantitative variables, to compare between more than two periods or stages and Post Hoc Test (Dunn’s) for pairwise comparisons. ANOVA with repeated measures for normally distributed quantitative variables, to compare between more than two periods or stages, and Post Hoc test (Bonferroni adjusted) for pairwise comparisons. McNemar Test Used to analyze the significance between the different stages. The confidence interval was set to 95% and the margin of error accepted was set to 5%. So, the p-value was considered significant as the following: P-value less than 0.05: Non-significant (NS). P-value More than 0.05: Significant (S). P-value less than 0.01; Highly significant (HS).

**RESULTS**

There was a male predominance of approximately 2:1. The ages ranged from 4-13 years old (mean=8.48). Constipation was the main complain (100%), followed by soiling in 11 cases (55%), abdominal pain in 12 cases (60%), abdominal
distention in 16 cases (80%). The duration of symptoms ranged from 2 to 11 years (mean=4.6±2.57). Ten cases (50%) complained of associated urinary incontinence especially nocturnal. Per Rectal (PR) examination showed soiling in 11 cases (55%), no gripping was elected in all cases and hard stool was evident in 12 cases (60%), with good state of sphincter in all cases. Barium enema showed hugely dilated elongated rectosigmoid, The S shaped sigmoid length was nearly doubled, the RPR ranged from 0.59-0.83 (mean=0.7±0.06). Defecography was done in 14 cases (70%), Six cases (30%) were uncooperative during the investigation.

Nine cases (64.3%) of them had an abnormal figure in defecography. Eleven cases had degree of perineal descent (78.5%) ranging from 1 (mild) to 6.5 cm (sever)(mean=3.4). Also 6 cases (42.9%) had rectoceles (Ant 21.4%; Post 14.2%; Ant & Post 7.14%). Ten patients (50%) underwent anal manometery, four of them were normal the other four had delayed Squeeze response. Rectal biopsy was ganglionic in all cases. Anal manometry was done to 8 cases (40%) with half of them showing delayed squeeze. Anal EMG was done to all cases,18 cases (90%) were normal. MRI was normal in all cases.

Nine cases (45%) were done by Laparoscopic Assisted Transanal Pull through technique, while 10 cases (50%) were done by Trans-anal soave Rectosigmoidectomy technique, and only one case (5%) was done by open technique. Three cases (15%) had post-operative complications, two of them had leakage (1 case needed temporary colostomy and the other was treated conservatively) and one case had port site infection. The resected segment length ranged from 28.8 cm to 18.9 cm, the mean length was 22.76 cm, while the diameter ranged from 4 cm to 7 cm, the mean diameter was 4.93 cm. The more the duration of constipation was the more dilatation and lengthening of the sigmoid in relation to age. All the cases (100%) had no aganglionic segment. There was high correlation between Rectosigmoidectomy and the improvement of the associated urinary incontinence, all cases suffered from associated urinary incontinence were improved postoperatively (100%) (P<0.001).

According to the Rome III Criteria results, all 20 patients (100%) had two or less bowel movements per week pre-operatively, this percentage has dropped to 10% (P<0.001) post-operatively; 15 patients 75% had fecal incontinence one or more times per week pre-operatively, and 14 patients 70% had history of stool holding pre-operatively; both dropped to 10% post-operatively (P<0.001). While 55% had history of painful defecations, 30% had history of large stools that clog of the toilet, and 15% had large fecal mass retained in the rectum; all these criteria had dropped to 0% (P<0.001) post-operatively.

14 cases (70%) had history of stool holding pre-operatively; both dropped to 2 patients (10%) post-operatively (P<0.001). While 11 patients (55%) had history of painful defecations, 6 patients (30%) had history of large stools that clog of the toilet, and 3 patients (15%) had large fecal mass retained in the rectum; all these criteria has dropped to (0%) (P<0.001) post-operatively (Table-1).

Over all PICS has changed significantly were constipation and incontinence score preoperatively was (8.38±2.99) and (20.05±5.36) that changed 6 months post operatively to (23.60±4.72) and (24.65±2.64) respectively (P<0.001 and=0.001)(Table 2)(Figure 2-3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROME III</th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Post-operative 6 months</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two or less bowel movements per week</td>
<td>20 100.0</td>
<td>2 10.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal incontinence one or more times per week</td>
<td>15 75.0</td>
<td>2 10.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stool holding</td>
<td>14 70.0</td>
<td>2 10.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of painful defecations</td>
<td>11 55.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of large stools that clog the toilet</td>
<td>6 30.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large fecal mass retained in the rectum</td>
<td>3 15.0</td>
<td>0 0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison between Rome III criteria pre and post operatively
Table 2: Comparison between the different studied periods according to PICS Pre and Postoperatively 3 and 6 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-operative</th>
<th>Post-operative 3 months</th>
<th>Post-operative 6 months</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incontinence Score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.– Max.</td>
<td>6.0 – 32.0</td>
<td>20.0 – 32.0</td>
<td>20.0 – 32.0</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD.</td>
<td>20.05 ± 5.36</td>
<td>23.90 ± 2.57</td>
<td>24.65 ± 2.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>20.0(18.0 – 22.0)</td>
<td>23.50(22.0 – 25.50)</td>
<td>24.50(23.0 – 26.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Constipation Score   |               |                         |                         |         |
| Min.– Max.           | 0.50 – 12.0   | 12.0 – 28.50            | 10.0 – 28.50            | <0.001* |
| Mean ± SD.           | 8.38 ± 2.99   | 24.50 ± 3.61            | 23.60 ± 4.72            |         |
| Median (IQR)         | 8.50(6.0 – 11.0) | 25.50(23.25 – 26.50)   | 23.75(23.25 – 26.50)    |         |

DISCUSSION

According to Levy et al FC was more prominent in females (2:1). In this study males were prominent (2:1). Similar result was recorded by Khalil et al. The mean age of patients in our study was 8 years; which was the same in Luis De la Torre et al. And, the mean duration of constipation in our study was 4.5 years. We concluded that patient with history of constipation of more than 4 years duration and older than 8 years of age; are most probably in need for surgery. Constipation was evident in all patients in this study, while soiling was evident in 55 % and 80% had abdominal distention, this result was close to what was founded by Kuizenga-Wessel et al who recorded results of soiling in 50% and distention in 66% . Urinary incontinence(nocturnal) was found in 50% of the cases in this study, Veiga et al explained this condition as the effect of the pressure exerted on the urinary bladder by the dilated rectum and colon. Barium Enema was an essential diagnostic tool in this study by which we could exclude all cases of short and ultra-short HD as well as helping the diagnosis of FC by measuring the RPR, Van Der Plas recommended the same. We strongly recommend rectal biopsy to be an essential procedure for all patients before surgery and this recommendation is in correspondence with Luis De la Torre et al. We did exclude all cases of aganglionic segment form our study. Although, Defecography is an important investigatory tool that helps determining the anatomical abnormalities (Perineal descent, Rectocele) and physiological abnormalities (Dyssynergia), 70% of our cases were cooperative to perform this investigation, Suzanne Rose recommended the same.

Anal EMG was normal in 90% of the cases. An MRI pelvie Floor muscle was done in cases with abnormal EMG, to exclude cases with anatomical neural deformities (Spina bifida). If Normal MRI was found an initial biofeedback treatment was started as to correct the muscle weakness. We think this is due to long standing constipation causing a chronic strain on the pudendal nerve, which in turn causes muscle weakness that is generally reversible; this also was agreed on by Suzanne Rose. This justifies the need to do Mallon procedure in association with Rectosigmoidectomy, as introduced by Marc levitt et al. Anal manometry was done in 40% of the cases in this study, This concept is agreed upon by Meinds, R.J., Wu, J.F, Frenckner, B the importance of anal manometry is to be as important as rectal biopsy by many authors.

We used 3 surgical techniques in the Rectosigmoidectomy (Laparoscopic assisted Transanal pull through, open Rectosigmoidectomy, and Transanal soave pull through) after refining our technique from Sigmoidecotomy only in only one case to Rectosigmoidectomy in 19 cases. Alberto Pena recorded that insufficient removal of the rectum...
causes significant recurrence. De la Torre et al arrived to the same conclusion. Although colorectal anastomosis by Pena has resolved the problem of constipation and the risk of fecal impaction but most of his case series suffered from true fecal incontinence that is why we used to remove the upper 1/3 or upper 2/3 of the rectum. The results of improvement of constipation and soiling in our series were 90% of the rectum. The results of improvement of constipation and soiling in our series were 90% improvement after 6 months. All techniques showed the same results post-operative, with fewer complications in the Transanal soave technique; and one case of post-operative anastomotic stricture with circular stapler. We preferred this technique as we have experience in the usage of Transanal soave technique. Also, the anastomosis in Transanal technique is oblique. The overall success in the patients who had Rectosigmoidectomy was 90% when measured by Rome III criteria. This agrees with a meta-analysis published by Levitt et al with patient satisfaction ranged from 80-100% after Rectosigmoidectomy. The improvement has always been faster in term of constipation shifting the PICS from an average of 8.36 preoperative to 24.50 in just 3 months post-operative. But with incontinence they had a more gradual improvement over the 6 months post-operative. This may be caused by the muscle weakness due to long standing constipation. Or this may be caused by the rectal dilatation which was done intra-operatively. So, we recommend clarifying this matter with the parents so as not encounter any unnecessary conflicts about the effect of surgery in term of incontinence. However, several future studies are needed with large number of patients and long period of follow up for determination of the best approach for management of pediatric patients with FC.

CONCLUSION

Rectosigmoidectomy is the best treatment for children suffering from intractable idiopathic constipation with megarectosigmoid, and is better than Sigmoidecstomy alone as the removal of the rectum is essential for better ptooperative results. Also, We can't recommend a specific technique for Rectosigmoidectomy, however by using stapler the possibility of postoperative complications as leakage and stenosis may occur.
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