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ABSTRACT

Background: Posterior Pedicle screw fixation has step by step become popular to thoracolumbar fracture management. Since Roy-Camille et al. used application of plates with pedicle screws for thoracolumbar fractures in 1963.

Aim of work: to evaluate the percutaneous transpedicular fixation for management of lumbar fractures.

Patient and Methods: This research was done on 20 patients presented by lumbar spine fractures; they were treated with percutaneous transpedicular fixation and stabilization at Al-Azhar University hospitals from June 2019 to February 2020. All patients were without neurological deficits they were fixed either one level above and one level below or two levels above and two levels below the fractured vertebra.

Results: Clinical and functional outcomes are preferable or comparable to conventional open procedures. The key disadvantages of this procedure are the steep learning curve and radiation exposure to both doctors, nurses and surly patients, which may be reduced as much as possible by using the new 3D CT screw insertion process.

Conclusion: Percutaneous transpedicular spine fixation is a secure technique that follows the same principles as open procedures, allowing the surgeon to conduct biomechanically strong internal spinal fixation with minimal tissue damage, and is a suitable choice for the treatment of unstable thoracolimber fractures with no neurological deficit. This has the benefit of short-time surgery, no loss of blood, almost no muscle damage results in less postoperative pain than conventional open procedures, short hospitalization, early mobilization, a quicker return to work and a low risk of complications.
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INTRODUCTION

The thoracolumbar fractures is one of the highest common spine fractures and more than 160,000 cases of injury occurred last year.1

A significant percentage of thoracolumbar fractures happens at levels T11 to L2, which is weak facing external forces.2

The choice of treatment procedures, including conservative management and surgical treatment, depends on the particular circumstances of the fractures. Surgical treatment approaches in patients with thoracolumbar fractures often achieve reasonably better clinical results compared to conservative care, such as rest in bed and immobilization.3

Over the last 20 years, interest in spine fractures has grown when new and more advanced surgical treatment options have been developed. A large number of publications detailing different surgical procedures for the reduction and fixation of thoracolumbar fractures, accompanied by discussions between the authors, have not led to a general consensus on optimal treatment.4

Traditional open spine surgery has many limitations identified, including significant blood loss, risk of infection, and muscle pain postoperative. Paravertebral muscle dissection required in internal spine fixation may cause excessive denervation, increased intramuscular tension, ischemia, necrosis and revascularization, resulting in postoperative muscle atrophy and scarring, mostly associated with extended postoperative pain and disability.5

Percutaneous transpedicular fixation has recently been introduced as an effective procedure for the treatment of thoracolumbar fractures, with the goal of reducing soft tissue injury and perioperative morbidity.6
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Percutaneous transpedicular fixation was first introduced by Magerl whom used an external fixator and then Mathews & Long first described and achieved entirely percutaneous lumbar pedicle fixation techniques in which plates were utilized as longitudinal connectors. Lowery & Kulkarni 7 Consequently described an analogous technique where rods were placed, although the latter authors observed a high rate of success, Mathews & Long noted a large non-union rate.

In all of these previous procedures, the longitudinal connectors were located either externally or superficially below the skin. It has many possible drawbacks, first; the superficial connectors is irritating and needs removal, second; longer screws (and therefore longer moment arms) are needed generating a less efficient biomechanical stabilization than that produced by the traditional system for pedicle fixation leading to an increased risk for implant failure.7

To overcome the complication of both conventional posterior spinal fixation and the complication of superficially placed hardware, other systems of percutaneous spine fixation have been developed in which the hardware is placed in close contact to the bone allowing fixation comparable to open positioning of screws. These systems namely are (SEXTANT), path finder & (World Spine Highlight W.S.H.) systems in which rods & plates respectively are used as longitudinal connector. 8

PATIENT AND MATERIALS

This is prospective and retrospective study that was conducted on 20 patients with lumbar fractures all of them were treated by percutaneous pedicle screw fixation in Al-Azhar university hospital from 6/2019 to 1/2020. All of them were neurological free.

The patients were thoroughly examined by these specialties, there vital states (airway, breathing & circulation) were well assessed and any dysfunction was well managed by the team. Once the patient is stabilized haemodynamically a diagnostic work up was done, as regard to patient with suspected spine injury conventional x-ray radiography, computed tomography and MRI were done to identify level of injury and type of fracture. Once the fracture is identified and classified, we prepare a treatment plan based on the fracture pattern, the severity of injury and the patients’ overall condition.

The follow-up period was 6 months, Patients were reviewed at 2 weeks postoperatively for removal of stitches then monthly for 3 successive months followed by 2 months then after 3 months to be evaluated clinically and radiologically this program is recommended for all patients with the exception of patients who have associated fracture.

Preoperative evaluation Preoperative clinical and radiological findings:

Each patient is evaluated clinically; radiologically and by other preoperative laboratory investigations to confirm fitness for general anesthesia.

Clinical evaluation: Personal history including: Name, Age, Sex, Occupation, Address and Special habits. History of trauma including: Site, Mode, Time elapsed till presentation and Severity. Neurological disorders including: Sensory, Motor and sphincteric disorders. History of chest, cardiac or general health problems that may hinder anesthesia.


The study included cases of lumbar fractures without neurological deficit. While cases of lumbar fractures associated with neurological deficit and cord compression were excluded from the study.

After hospital admission to the following were done: Full lap. was done to the patient. The patient was instructed not to sit in bed. Anti-thrombotic treatment was started. No catheterization was used; all patients were neurologically free. Oral analgesics administered with mild pain and parenteral analgesics with severe pain and poly trauma patients were administered. The surgical procedure and postoperative management were discussed with patient and his relative and the surgeon answered any question the patient had in mind about the operation. The aim of surgery was to stabilize the spine using a percutaneous pedicle screws.

Preoperative preparation and positioning: All of the patients were given prophylactic antibiotic (3rd generation Cephalosporin) 1 gm before induction of anesthesia. The patients were positioned prone, under general anesthesia on a radiolucent table with a small towel under chest of the patient with hyper extension of the leg.

The skin incision was determined using a radiographic marker after taking a C-Arm shot to determine the affected and working levels.

Procedure for Percutaneous Pedicle Screw Fixation:

Skin incision and entry point: The skin incision were 2 cm to provide a trajectory which follows the lateral-medial curvature of the pedicle. Neighboring entry points are at a large distance from each other about 1.5 cm separate incisions.9

Placing Jamshidi needle through the pedicle: The needle pushes through the skin until the tip of the needle get in touch with junction of the facet and the transverse process with fluoroscopic guidance. The Jamshidi needle then pushed in the lateral aspect of the pedicle. This is called the “3 o-clock” position on the RT side and the ‘9 o-clock’ position on the LT side. Advance the Jamshidi needle in the pedicle around 20 to 25 mm,
ensuring that the needle stays lateral to the medial wall of pedicle. The Jamshidi needle is now in the vertebral body and thus secured without the risk of a medial pedicle split. Placing all the needles before proceeding to the next step makes it easier to place the needles on the second pedicle. Even, as we insert the needle on the opposite side, the vision is only minimally interrupted by the initial screw. 9)

Insertion of K-wires: Put a K-wire down inside the Jamshidi needle.

Dilatation of muscles: Sequential dilators are placed over the guide wire to extend the path through the muscle and this technique provides the direction of the appropriate space and prevents tissue damage and then places a pedicle tap down the path of the K-wire 9).

Placement of the screw: After the last dilator has been removed, the entire screw-extender assembly is placed over the K-wire and the screw is inserted into the pedicle under fluoroscopic guidance. When the screw has entered the vertebral body, the wire is removed to prevent the assembly from continuing advancement. Therefore the other screws are inserted at the same depth 9).

Measurement and insertion of the rod: Post-operative care: All patients were neurologically tested before leaving the operating room after recovery; all patients were given 3rd generation Cephalosporin (Cefotaxime 1 gm every 12 hours) for one week and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs was given according to patient tolerance.

All patients wore lumbar brace, for 2-3 weeks, then the brace was discarded and the patients were advised to ambulate immediate post-op. But bending or twisting of the waist and raising more than 5 lb is generally not allowed for 3 months. Limitations, such as preventing over-exercising from climbing stairs, pushing or pulling movements, extended setting and extended standing, all of these behaviors are usually limited to 3-6 months. Post-op plain X-ray and CT were done to assess mid sagittal space and prevents tissue damage and then places a pedicle tap down the path of the K-wire 9).

Table 1: Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their demographic data regarding gender and age (n=20).

This table shows that the <25 years 5 (25.0%), 25-<30 years 6 (30.0%), 30-35 years 4 (20.0%) and >35 years 5 (25.0%), also ranged 17-51 with mean 31.00±9.97 of age (years), while female (45%) and male (55%) of sex.

Table 2: Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their injury data regarding level of injury and mechanism of injury (n=20).

This table shows that the L1 7 (35.0%), L2 5 (25.0%), L3 2 (10.0%), L4 pars 4 (20.0%), L5 1 (5.0%) and L5 pars 1 (5.0%) of level of injury, while FFH 9 (45.0%), RTA 6 (30.0%) and Twisting Rotation 5 (25.0%) of Mechanism of injury.
This table shows that the Good 81 (94.2%), Medial 4 (4.7%) and Lateral 1 (1.2%) of Screw position.

This table shows that the Good 81 (94.2%), Medial 4 (4.7%) and Lateral 1 (1.2%) of Screw position.

**Table 3:** Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their duration of surgery (n=20).

This table shows that the <70 min. 3 (15.0%), ≥70-80 min. 6 (30.0%), >80-100 min. 9 (45.0%) and >100 min. 2 (10.0%), also ranged 60-120 with mean 80.50±15.97 of duration of surgery.

**Table 4:** Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their blood loss (n=20).

This table shows that the <100cc 6 (30.0%), 100cc 9 (45.0%) and >100cc 15 (75.0%), also ranged 70-250 with mean 105.00±39.27 of blood loss (cc),

**Table 5:** Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their radiation exposure (n=20).

This table shows that the <3min. 4 (20.0%), At 3min. 8 (40.0%) and ≥3-5min. 8 (40.0%), also ranged 2.5-5 with mean 2.5±0.64 of radiation exposure.

**Table 6:** Distribution of percutaneous transpedicular fixation cases according to their screw position (n=86).

This table shows that the Good 81 (94.2%), Medial 4 (4.7%) and Lateral 1 (1.2%) of Screw position.

**Table 8:** Comparison between pre-operative and post-operative at 6 months according to back pain (n=20).

This table shows statistically significant decrease back pain in post-operative at 6months compared to pre-operative according to back pain with p-value <0.001 highly significant.

**Table 9:** Comparison between pre-operative and post-operative at 6 months according to mean of back pain (n=20).

This table shows highly statistically significant decrease back pain in post-operative at 6months compared to pre-operative according to mean of back pain with p-value <0.001 highly significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Traditional open approach for thoracolumbar spine fixation requires huge soft tissue dissection to show the bone structure of the spine and to connect the pedicle to the screw. Consecutively, paraspinal muscles are denervated and dissection leads to muscle and soft tissue ischemia, severe postoperative pain, lengthy recovery periods and possibly contributes to certain cases of failed fracture stabilization.10

This is a prospective and retrospective study of 20 patients started from June 2019 to February 2020. Nine patients were females 45% and eleven males 60%; 20 patients started from June 2019 to February 2020. Nine patients were females 45% and eleven males 60%; average age was 31 years (range from 17 to 51).

Ni et al11 reported thirty six consecutive patients with thoracolumbar fractures fixed with percutaneous screws from May 2005 to April 2008. There were twenty-five men and eleven women aged between 19 and 58 years (average age was 43.2 years).

Palmisani et al.12 reported 51 successive patients with thoracolumbar fractures fixed with percutaneous screws from January 2003 to December 2006. There were twenty-five men and eleven women aged between 19 and 58 years (average age was 43.2 years).

Wang Hong-weiet al13 reported 38 consecutive patients of thoracolumbar fractures 17 of them stabilized by Sextant percutaneous screws (13 men and 4 women). In this study the mechanisms of injury were motor vehicle in Six patients (30%), fall from height in nine
patients (45%) and twisting rotation injury (fracture pars without spondylolisthesis) in 5 patients (25%). One patient was fixed two levels above and two levels below and 19 patients were fixed one level above and one level below.

Ni et al.11 stated that the injury cause involves a fall from height in 24 patients and a motor vehicle accident in 12 patients. Palmisani et al. 12 stated that the mechanism of injury were a motor vehicle accident in 34 patients (66%) and a fall from height in 17 patients (34%).

Wang Hong-wei et al.13 reported that the causes of injury were due to fall from height in 53 patients, motor car accident in 24 patients, falling from low height in 20 patients and a heavy object struck in 3 patients. The injury levels were T11 in two patients, T12 in fourteen patients, L1 in sixty patients and L2 in twenty four patients.

In this study the average time of operation was 80.5 minutes (range 60 to 120 min). Long time of operation was in early cases because we were at the beginning of the learning curve and we used to apply screws one by one that taking a lot of time later we started to apply 2 screws at the same time that make the time of surgery short.

Wild et al.14 reported in percutaneous fixation that the mean operative time (47.0 min ± 14.4) was lower than the traditional pedicle screw fixation technique ranging from 81 to 240 min.

Merom et al.15 reported that with percutaneous short-segment fixation, the operating period (73 to 85 minutes) was shorter than for traditional open fixation (78 to 102 minutes).

Ni et al.11 recorded average operating time of 70 minutes for percutaneous short-segment fixation.

Wang Hong-wei et al. 13 reported mean operating time was average of 78 min (ranging from 80 min to 130 min).

In comparison to open surgeries Ni et al. 11 recorded operative time of about 153 min (125 – 205) in open pedicle screw fixation.

In our work the average intraoperative loss of blood was 105 mL (ranging from 70 mL to 250 mL).

Wild et al. 14 reported in non-randomized study with thoracolumbar fractures, lower blood loss (less than 10ml) in percutaneous pedicle screw fixation than open surgery.

Wang Hongwei et al.15 reported mean blood loss was 83.5±51.8 (ranging from 20 ml to 200 ml)

Ni et al.11 reported blood loss (averaged 75mL) in percutaneous transpedicular fixation.

In comparison to open techniques Wild et al.14 reported blood loss of 150 to 800 ml with average 350 ml in open pedicle screw fixation.

Ni et al.11 reported blood loss (averaged 75mL) in percutaneous pedicle screw fixation.

In this study the average radiation exposure was 3.25 min

Wild et al. 14 recorded average radiation exposure was 5.7 min.

Schmidt et al.16 recorded average radiation exposure was 5.99 min.

In our work the hospital stay for the patients averaged 2.6 days (range 2 to 5 days).

Wild et al.14 reported in percutaneous transpedicular fixation, the mean length of hospital stay was 15 days ± 4 days.

Wang Hong-wei et al.13 recorded mean hospital stay was 11.1±3.8 (ranging from 5days to18days).

In comparison of open surgery wang Bowen et al.17 reported that hospital stay in open surgery versus percutaneous was 20.7±5.2 days and 9.4±3.2 days respectively.

In this study we had 5 mal-placed screws. Four of them reached the lateral wall of the pedicle and one reached the medial wall of the pedicle but no canal encroachment or nerve injury.

In our work no major complications happened as nerve injury, Dural tear or serious postoperative infection.

The main drawback to this procedure is radiation exposure to both doctors, nurses and surly patients, which can reduce by inserting two screws and taking X-rays at the same time and by using the new 3D CT navigation system.

Finally we can’t ignore that the percutaneous systems are more expensive than traditional screws and also the surgical technique of percutaneous fixation of pedicles carries a high steep learning curve and mandates proper training before its routine usage.

Wang Bowen et al.17 reported that one case in the percutaneous pedicle screw fixation group was recorded with wound infection, and no patient in the percutaneous pedicle screw fixation group was recorded with pseudarthrosis, recurrence, or apparent kyphosis. Patients with wound infection have been managed with surgical debridement in conjunction with antibiotic therapy.

Ni et al.11 recorded that 6.7% of the 104 screws inserted had been misplaced, but there were no neurological problems. One patient with superficial infection out of 36 patients with percutaneous transpedicular fixation; the infection was treated only with antibiotics.

Wang Hong-Wei et al.15 reported that there was no malposition screws in the percutaneous pedicle screw fixation group and also no intra operative or post-operative complications in this group.

**CONCLUSION**

Percutaneous transpedicular fixation is a secure technique that follows the same concepts as open procedures, allowing the surgeon to conduct biomechanically strong internal spinal fixation with minimal tissue damage, and is a suitable choice for the treatment of unstable thoracolumbar fractures with no neurological deficit. It has the benefit of short-time of
operation, minimal blood loss, little muscle damage actually results in lesser postoperative pain than traditional open techniques, short hospitalization, early mobilization, a quicker return to work and a low risk of complications.
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