



9-1-2020

Ideal Time of Vaginal Misoprostol Administration in nulliparous women undergoing Office Hysteroscopy: a randomized double blind placebo controlled study

Ahmed Abd elsalam

Obstetrics and gynaecology department all azhar university, abo_gouda87@yahoo.com

Esmail Elgarhy

Obstetrics and gynaecology department faculty of medecine al-azhar university, dr-ismael2010@hotmail.com

Ahmed Amer

Obstetrics and gynaecology department faculty of medecine al-azhar university, asamydmer@gmail.com

Ashraf Mohammed

Obstetrics and gynaecology department faculty of medecine al-azhar university, ashraf.hamdy2010@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: <https://aimj.researchcommons.org/journal>



Part of the [Medical Sciences Commons](#), [Obstetrics and Gynecology Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

How to Cite This Article

Abd elsalam, Ahmed; Elgarhy, Esmail; Amer, Ahmed; and Mohammed, Ashraf (2020) "Ideal Time of Vaginal Misoprostol Administration in nulliparous women undergoing Office Hysteroscopy: a randomized double blind placebo controlled study," *Al-Azhar International Medical Journal*: Vol. 1: Iss. 9, Article 6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21608/aimj.2020.24462.1150>

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar International Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. For more information, please contact dryasserhelmy@gmail.com.

Ideal Time of Vaginal Misoprostol Administration in Nulliparous Women Undergoing Office Hysteroscopy: a Randomized Double Blind Placebo Controlled Study

Ahmed Abdelmaguid Mohammed^{1*} MD, Ismail Talaat Elgarhy¹ MD, Ahmed Samy Amer¹ MD and Ashraf Hamdy Mohammed¹ MD.

*Corresponding Author:

Ahmed Abdelmaguid Mohammed

abo_gouda87@yahoo.com

Received for publication February 26, 2020; Accepted October 2, 2020; Published online October 2, 2020.

Copyright 2020 The Authors published by Al-Azhar University, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo, Egypt. All rights reserved. This an open-access article distributed under the legal terms, where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in anyway or used commercially.

doi: 10.21608/aimj.2020.24462.1150

¹Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

Disclosure: The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article. The Article Processing Charge was paid for by the authors.

Authorship: All authors have a substantial contribution to the article.

ABSTRACT

Background: Hysteroscopy had the process of viewing, operating in the endometrial from a transapproach.

Aim of the work: to detect ideal time of misoprostol administration for priming in nulliparous cases prior by comparing between giving 400microgram 3hours, 6hours, 12hours before hysteroscopy.

Patients and Methods: randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. This study had done on 198 cases to whom hysteroscopy had done as a part of investigation of (infertility, recurrent miscarriage or abnormal uterine bleeding). Those cases divided into three gatherings each gathering 66 cases, Gathering A, received 400µgm misoprostol 12hours before hysteroscopy, placebo 6hours, 3hours before hysteroscopy. Gathering B received 400µgm misoprostol 6hours before hysteroscopy, placebo 12hours, 3hours before hysteroscopy. Gathering C received 400µgm misoprostol 3hours before hysteroscopy, placebo 12hours, 6hours before hysteroscopy. Our main outcome measures had score(visual analogue scale), procedural time in minutes, bleeding, also to detect side critical of misoprostol, complication of its use.

Results: In gathering A which received 400µgm misoprostol 12hours, score had lower(2.6±1.3) compared to gathering B(5.3±1.3) compared to gathering C(7.3±1.2). Procedural time had shorter in gathering A(2.7±0.9) compared to gathering B(5.2±1.2) compared to gathering C(7.4±1.3), entry had easier in gathering A(4.2±0.7) compared to gathering B(3.5±0.5) compared to gathering C(2.5±0.6), baseline dilatation had greater in gathering A(5.9±0.8) compared to gathering B(4.7±1.1) compared to gathering C(3.9±0.8) bleeding had least in gathering A compared to gathering B compared to gathering C case acceptability had higher in gathering A(4.2±0.7) compared to gathering B(3.5±0.5) compared to gathering C(2.5±0.6). No complication detected in both gatherings.

Conclusion: use of 400µgm misoprostol 12hours had better than using it 6hours, 3hours in facilitating ripening with minimal side critical without use of anesthesia. it decreases score, decrease procedure duration, increase ease of entry, higher case acceptability, with minimal side critical.

Keywords: hysteroscopy; misoprostol; primin

INTRODUCTION

Hysteroscopy had the process of viewing, operating in the endometrial from a transapproach. It had the gold standard procedure for uterine exploration¹.

Hysteroscopy allows direct visualization of the uterine, the endometrium, the canal. The examination might be practiced on an out-case basis, without anesthesia, using appropriate small-caliber instruments, irrigation with physiological saline².

Hysteroscopy had associated with minimal case discomfort, excellent visualization, very low complication, failure rates^{3,4}.

Taking into account that an efficient method to facilitate an easier uncomplicated entry during the hysteroscopic procedure could substantially minimize the risk of complications, several modalities for ripening prior had adopted⁵.

priming prior to diagnostic hysteroscopy softens the cervix, lessens the force needed for dilation, thereby potentially reducing the probability of procedural complication such as uterine perforation, laceration, failure to dilate, creation of a false track that could occur during entry⁶.

The synthetic analogue of prostaglandin E1, misoprostol, had the agent used most often for preparation prior⁷.

It could be given orally, vaginally, sublingually, buccally, or rectally⁸⁻¹⁰.

The route appears to be superior to the oral route¹¹.

Based on the available evidence on the use of misoprostol prior, the optimal time of misoprostol administration prior to the hysteroscopy. So we tried in our study to test for appropriate time by comparing between 3hours, 6hours, 12hours administration prior.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Type of the study: Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study.

Double blinded means that: neither participants nor operator know which intervention would be received.

Setting of the study: This study had conducted at El Sahel Teaching Hospital from October 2017 till October 2018.

Protocol approval by ethics committee: Before the beginning of the study, in accordance with the local regulation followed, the protocol, all corresponding documents had declared for Ethical, Research approval by the Council of Obstetrics, Gynecology Department, Al-Azhar University.

Sample size calculation: We had planning a study of a continuous response variable from 3equal study gatherings. We added 10% to each gathering to compensate for the dropped cases, so each gathering would be 66cases.

Case selection: One hundred ninety eight nulliparous cases had subjected with the following

Inclusion criteria: Age-childbearing period or postmenopause from 20-50years, Nulliparous cases, Indication for hysteroscopy; Infertile cases either primary or secondary infertility, Cases with history of recurrent miscarriage, Cases with history of abnormal uterine bleeding.

Exclusion criteria: Contraindications: Any uterine abnormality that would obviate passage of a catheter, marked stenosis, recent pelvic disease, uterine bleeding. Contraindications to prostaglandins.

After obtaining informed consent, all included cases had subjected to: thorough history taking, Full examination, Pelvic ultrasound, Laboratory investigations: Serum cases test: to exclude cases.

Interventions: The cases had divided into 3gatherings randomly, each gathering contained 66cases. Method of randomization: computerized randomization(covariate adaptive randomization) randomization.

First gathering (long interval misoprostol gathering): Two misoprostol (400micrograms) had given vaginally 12hours prior. Two placebo had given vaginally 6hours, 3hours prior.

Second gathering(intermediate interval misoprostol gathering): Two placebo had given vaginally 12hours prior. Two misoprostol had given vaginally 6hours prior. Two placebo had given vaginally 3hours prior.

Third gathering(short interval misoprostol gathering): Two placebo had given vaginally 12hours, 6hours prior. Two misoprostol had given vaginally 3hours prior. The placebo had folic acid 500mcg. The hysteroscopy had scheduled in the proliferative menstrual phase from the 5th day to the 14th day of the cycle. Informed written consent had signed by all the cases.

Technique: Case preparation had one of the most important aspects for successful hysteroscopy, thus the procedure had described to every case prior to the examination, each step had explained during the procedure so the cases had an active participants, this helped them to understand the experience, relieved anxiety. The hysteroscope used in this study had that of Karl Storz,(Germany 1996). It had a rigid continuous flow panoramic hysteroscopy 25cm in length, 4mm in diameter with an outer sheath of 5.5mm, a 30degree fibro optic lens. The light source used in this study had a metal halide automatic light source from Circon Acmi G71A/Germany with 150watt lamp. A fibro optic cable had connected to the light source. The technique used to provide constant uterine distention had by 3L volume saline bags to dual infusion tubing which had suspended one meter above the case level. Each bag had then wrapped in a pressure infusion cuff similar to that used in BP to reach a pressure of 150-200mmHg. The tubing had connected to the hysteroscope. It had helpful, more comfortable for the operator to sit on a low chair, to elevate the foot of the examination table to perform the procedure. After the case had installed in the lithotomy position then the gynecologist used sterile gloves, checking the flow of the distention medium, the hysteroscope had introduced under direct vision into the cervix without the use of anesthesia or analgesia, using a specific technique. No dilatation had done.

No dilatation
No blind insertion of the instruments
No use of portio tenaculum
Atraumatic, sight-controlled insertion of the hysteroscope
Use non-irritating distension media(saline)
No anesthesia or analgesia necessary

Table 1: Technique of a traumatic diagnostic hysteroscopy:

The outcomes that had measured: The level of pelvic had rated according to a 10-point visual analogue

scale(VAS). The VAS had applied immediately after the procedure ended. Ease of entry of the cervix recorded on a 5-point Likert scale(Likert 1932): very difficult=1, difficult=2, fair=3, easy=4, very easy=5. Baseline width at the beginning of the procedure had assessed by the largest number of Hegar dilator that could be inserted into the cervix without resistance. The bleeding during the procedures had assessed whether if there had no or moderate or severe bleeding. The time from introduction through the external os, the visualization of the uterine had recorded in minutes. Any complications or side critical to perform hysteroscopy.

Statistical analysis: Data had then transferred to the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

RESULTS

Demographic DATA	Gathering A (n=66)	Gathering B (n=66)	Gathering C (n=66)	P Value	A*B	A*C	B*C
Age: <i>Mean±SD</i> <i>Range</i>	33.6±8.1 20-49	33.6±7.3 22-50	32.7±6.8 22-50	0.753	0.999	0.801	0.781
Duration of marriage: <i>Mean±SD</i> <i>Range</i>	10.4±5.7 2-25	10.5±5.6 3-25	9.9±5.2 4-25	0.817	0.991	0.883	0.819
Gravidity: MG NG	30(45.5%) 36(54.5%)	28(42.4%) 38(57.6%)	39(59.1%) 27(40.9%)	0.125	0.726	0.117	0.055
Prev. procedure: Cerclage Cautery Biopsy No previous technique	15(22.7%) 14(21.2%) 3(4.5%) 34(51.6%)	18(27.3%) 10(15.2%) 1(1.4%) 37(56.1%)	19(28.8%) 6(9.1%) 3(4.5%) 38(57.6%)	0.533	0.559	0.273	0.571
Indications: Infertility Abortion Bleeding	26(39.4%) 18(27.3%) 22(33.3%)	26(39.4%) 19(28.8%) 21(31.8%)	31(47%) 22(33.3%) 13(19.7%)	0.455	0.975	0.207	0.281

Table 2: Comparison between gatherings as regard demographic data.

Outcome Measures	Gathering A	Gathering B	Gathering C	P VALUE	A, B	A, C	B, C
Level of according to VAS: Mean±SD Range	2.6±1.3 1-5	5.3±1.3 3-9	7.3±1.2 5-10	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Ease of entry according to LIKERT scale Mean±SD Range	4.2±0.7 1-5	3.5±0.5 3-4	2.5±0.6 1-4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Baseline dilatation by Hegar dilator Mean±SD Range	5.9±0.8 5-7	4.7±1.1 3-6	3.9±0.8 3-5	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Duration of procedure in minutes Mean±SD Range	2.7±0.9 1-4	5.2±1.2 3-8	7.4±1.3 5-10	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
bleeding: Mild Moderate No	3(4.6%) 1(1.5%) 62(93.9%)	12(18.2%) 5(7.6%) 49(74.2%)	30(45.5%) 10(15.2%) 26(39.3%)	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	<0.001
Case acceptability: Mean±SD Range	4.2±0.7 1-5	3.5±0.5 3-4	2.5±0.6 1-4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Table 3: Comparison between gatherings as regard outcome measures: Data had expressed as number±standard deviation, percent(%)

F- ANOVA test;

Misoprostol side critical	Gathering A (n=66)	Gathering B (n=66)	Gathering C (n=66)	P value	A*B	A*C	B*C
Pain	5(7.6%)	10(15.2%)	12(18.2%)	0.125	0.217	0.054	0.717
Nausea	3(4.5%)	7(10.6%)	9(13.6%)				
Diarrhea	2(3%)	3(4.5%)	5(7.6%)				
No Side critical	56(84.8%)	46(69.7%)	40(60.6%)				

Table 4: Comparison between gatherings as regard side effect of misoprostol.

Data had expressed as number, percent(%)

DISCUSSION

This study showed that giving 400microgram misoprostol 12hours prior (gathering A) had better than giving it 6hours(gathering B), 3hours(gathering C) prior as level of pelvic had lowest in gathering A followed by gathering B followed by gathering C, ease of pelvic entry had easier in gathering A followed by gathering B followed by gathering C, baseline dilatation had greater in gathering A followed by gathering B followed by gathering C, time of the procedure had shorter in gathering A followed by gathering B followed by gathering C, bleeding had least in gathering A, followed by gathering B, then gathering C. Misoprostol related side effect as fever, abdominal pain, nausea, diarrhea had minimal, there had no critical difference between the three gatherings.

Fouda et al., they compared 400mcg misoprostol 12h, 3h before hysteroscopy, they found that misoprostol administration 12hours before hysteroscopy¹².

Bastu et al., they found that 200µg, 400µg, critical facilitated the procedure of OH compared to the controls as entry had easier; procedural time had shorter; baseline width had larger, scoring had lower¹³.

Bakas et al, administered 200µg oral misoprostol to one gathering(12hours before), 200µg misoprostol to another, 200µg misoprostol to a third gathering.

El-Mazny, Abou-Salem compared 200µg gathering in which placebo had not used; procedure time had shorter, case acceptability had higher, scoring had lower in the gathering, which had in line with our findings¹⁵.

Preutthipan, Herabutya, showed that misoprostol, resulted in critical priming before hysteroscopy in non cases. They reported greater dilation, decreased resistance, or curettage with oral or misoprostol¹⁶.

El Khayat et al. compared the critical of isosorbide mononitrate(I.M.N), misoprostol for priming before the hysteroscopy, there had a critical difference between I.M.N, misoprostol with regard to the baseline dilatation(5mm for I.M.N, 8mm for misoprostol), duration of dilatation(73s for I.M.N, 49s for misoprostol)¹⁷.

Mulayim et al. two gatherings of cases who received sublingual misoprostol or placebo before hysteroscopy had compared with each other. Dilatation time had higher in placebo gathering. Furthermore, tearing had occurred more often in placebo gathering than in misoprostol gathering¹⁸.

Batukan et al. reported that 400µg administration of misoprostol had more critical than the oral route with the same dose for preoperative ripening in premenopausal cases in terms of extent of initial width, percentage of cases requiring dilatation, procedural time as well as complications during procedure, associated side critical²⁰.

Preutthipan & Herabutya compared the critical of misoprostol more critical technique, suggested to use misoprostol for priming instead of dinoprostone²³.

Choksuchat et al. suggested that 400mg oral misoprostol had as critical as 200µg route for ripening²⁴.

Preutthipan, Herabutya reported that 200µg misoprostol 9-10hours before the procedure lessens resistance, facilitates the procedures²⁵.

Bahamondes et al. found that pretreatment with intra100mcg of misoprostol after IUD insertion failure in 104cases 4-10hours before 2nd attempt had critical better than placebo(RCT)²⁶.

But this had not in agreement with a randomized controlled study which revealed that 400µg misoprostol administered vaginally 6hours before hysteroscopy had not critical in reducing experienced during hysteroscopy²⁷.

In addition, sequential doses of 400mg of oral misoprostol at 12-24hours before technique did not demonstrate any advantage in so far as dilation²⁸.

Fernandez et al. who gave cases three different doses of misoprostol in either 200, 400, or 800µg 4hours before OH, they found no critical difference²⁹.

Bisharah et al. compared the effect of 100µg of sublingual misoprostol administered 12h prior to operative hysteroscopy in 20cases to placebo, found no difference in facilitation of dilatation. Similarly, demonstrated no difference in ease of dilatation following administration of 800µg of misoprostol administered at least 5h prior compared to placebo in postmenopausal cases³⁰.

CONCLUSION

Hysteroscopy had an essential tool for uterine environment assessment. Misoprostol had a good ripening agent, had critical in changing the character of the cervix from harder, softer. This study showed that giving 400microgram misoprostol 12hours prior had better than giving it 6hours, 3hours prior.

REFERENCES

1. Golan A, Eilat E, Ron-El R, Herman A, Soffer Y, Bukovsky I: Hysteroscopy is superior to hysterosalpingography in infertility investigation. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*.1996, 75: 654-6.
2. Isaacson K : Office hysteroscopy: a valuable but under-utilized technique. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*, 2002., 14(4):381-5.
3. Campo R, Molinas CR and Rombauts L : Prospective multicentre randomized controlled trial to evaluate factors influencing the success rate of office diagnostic hysteroscopy. *Hum Reprod*.2005, 20: 258–63.

4. El-Mazny A and Abou-Salem N : A double-blind randomized controlled trial of vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before outpatient hysteroscopy. *Fertil Steril.*2011, 96 (4): 962–5.
5. Lin YH, Hwang JL, Seow KM, Huang LW, Chen HJ, Hsieh BC : Laminaria tent vs vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before hysteroscopy: Randomized study. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.*,2009, 16:708–12.
6. Lee YY, Kim TJ, Kang H, Choi CH, Lee JW and Kim BG : The use of misoprostol before hysteroscopic surgery in nonpregnant premenopausal women: a randomized comparison of sublingual, oral and vaginal administrations. *Hum Reprod.*2010, 25 (8): 1942–8.
7. Preutthipan S and Herabutya Y : A randomized comparison of vaginal misoprostol and dinoprostone for cervical priming in nulliparous women before operative hysteroscopy. *Fertil Steril.*2006, 86: 990–4.
8. Faundes A and Weeks A : Misoprostol in obstetrics and gynecology. *Int J Gynecol Obstet.*2007, 99:S156–9.
9. Saav I, Aronsson A, Marions L, Stephansson O and Gemzell-Danielsson K : Cervical priming with sublingual misoprostol prior to insertion of an intrauterine device in nulliparous women: a randomized controlled trial. *Hum Reprod.*2007, 22: 2647–52.
10. Crane JM and Healey S : Use of misoprostol before hysteroscopy: a systemic review. *J Obstet Gynecol Can.*2006, 28 (5): 373-9.
11. Batukan C, Ozgun MT, Ozcelik B, Aygen E, Sahin Y and Turkyilmaz C : Cervical ripening before operative hysteroscopy in premenopausal women: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of vaginal and oral misoprostol. *Fertil Steril.*2008, 89: 966–73.
12. Usama M.Fouda, Sherine H.Gadallah, Hesham S.Elshaer: Optimal timing of misoprostol administration in nulliparous women undergoing office hysteroscopy a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Fertility and sterility.*2016, 106(1):196-201.
13. Bastu E, Celik C, Nehir A, Dogan M, Yuksel B, Ergun B :Cervical priming before diagnostic operative hysteroscopy in infertile women: a randomized, double-blind, controlled comparison of 2 vaginal misoprostol doses. *Int Surg.*2013, 98(2): 140–4.
14. Bakas P, Hassiakos D and Liapis A : Misoprostol for cervical ripening before diagnostic hysteroscopy in nulliparous women. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.*2012, 116 (3): 263–4.
15. El-Mazny A and Abou-Salem N : A double-blind randomized controlled trial of vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before outpatient hysteroscopy. *Fertil Steril.*2011, 96 (4): 962–5.
16. Preutthipan S and Herabutya Y : Vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before operative hysteroscopy: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.*2010, 96:890.
17. El-khayat W, Maged A, Omar H : A comparative study between isosorbidedmononitrate versus misoprostol prior to hysteroscopy. *Mid East Fertil Soc J.*2010, 15:278-80.
18. Mulayim B, Celik NY, Celik G, Bagis T, Zeyneloglu HB : Sublingual misoprostol for cervical ripening before diagnostic hysteroscopy in premenopausal women: a randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Fertil Steril.*2010, 93:2400–4.
19. Lee YY, Kim TJ, Kang H, Choi CH, Lee JW and Kim BG : The use of misoprostol before hysteroscopic surgery in nonpregnant premenopausal women: a randomized comparison of sublingual, oral and vaginal administrations. *Hum Reprod.*2010, 25 (8): 1942–8.
20. Batukan C, Ozgun MT, Ozcelik B, Aygen E, Sahin Y and Turkyilmaz C : Cervical ripening before operative hysteroscopy in premenopausal women: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of vaginal and oral misoprostol. *Fertil Steril.*2008, 89: 966–73.
21. Da Costa AR, Pinto-Neto AM, Amorim M, Paiva LH, Scavuzzi A, Schettini J : Use of misoprostol prior to hysteroscopy in postmenopausal women: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.*2008, 15(1):67-73.
22. Oppegaard KS, Nesheim BI, Istre O, Qvigstad E: Comparison of selfadministered vaginal misoprostol versus placebo for cervical ripening prior to operative hysteroscopy using a sequential trial design. *BJOG.*2008, 115:663. e1–663e9.
23. Preutthipan S and Herabutya Y : A randomized comparison of vaginal misoprostol and dinoprostone for cervical priming in nulliparous women before operative hysteroscopy. *Fertil Steril.*2006, 86: 990–4. 890±894.

24. Choksuchat C, Cheewadhanaraks S, Getpook C, Wootipoom V, Dhanavoravibul K : Misoprostol for cervical ripening in non-pregnant women: a randomized double-blind controlled trial of oral versus vaginal regimens. *Hum Reprod.*2006, 21:2167–70.
25. Preutthipan S and Herabutya Y : Vaginal misoprostol for cervical priming before operative hysteroscopy: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.*2000, 96: 890-4.
26. Esin S, Baser E, Okuyan E and Kucukozkan T : Comparison of 44. Bahamondes L, Díaz J, Marchi NM et al. (2015): Performance of copper intrauterine devices when inserted after an expulsion. *Hum Reprod.*2013, 10:1577.
27. Hassa H, Aydin Y, Oge T and Cicek K : Effectiveness of vaginal misoprostol and rectal nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug in vaginoscopic diagnostic outpatient hysteroscopy in primarily infertile women: double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.*2013, 20: 880–5.
28. Thomas JA, Leyland N, Durand N and Windrim RC : the use of oral misoprostol as a cervical ripening agent in operative hysteroscopy: adouble blind, placebo controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*2002, 86 (5): 876-9.
29. Fernandez H, Alby JD, Tournoux C, Chauveaud-Lambling A, DeTayrac R and Frydman R : Vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening before operative hysteroscopy in pre-menopausal women: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with three dose regimens. *Hum Reprod.*2004, 19 (7): 1618–21
30. Bisharah M, Al-Fozan H, Tulandi T : A randomized trial of sublingual misoprostol for cervical priming before hysteroscopy. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc.*2003, 10:390–1.
31. Singh K, Fong YF, Prasad RN d RN, Dong F : Randomized trial to determine optimal dose of vaginal misoprostol for pre abortion cervical priming. *Obstet Gynecol.*1998, 92:795–8