6-1-2020

Section:

Liposuction assisted Abdominoplasty (revisit)

Ahmed Taha
Plastic Surgery, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, ahme.dtaha@azhar.edu.eg

Abd Elmonem Hota
Department of Plastic and burn surgery, Faculty of Medicine- Al-Azhar University, hota23@gmail.com

Ahmed Zaki
Plastic and burn surgery, faculty of medicine, AL_Azher university, cairo, Egypt, az075876@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://aimj.researchcommons.org/journal

Part of the Medical Sciences Commons, Obstetrics and Gynecology Commons, and the Surgery Commons

How to Cite This Article
Taha, Ahmed; Hota, Abd Elmonem; and Zaki, Ahmed (2020) "Liposuction assisted Abdominoplasty (revisit)," Al-Azhar International Medical Journal: Vol. 1: Iss. 6, Article 9.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21608/aimj.2020.28808.1210

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al-Azhar International Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Al-Azhar International Medical Journal. For more information, please contact dryasserhelmy@gmail.com.
Liposuction Assisted Abdominoplasty (Re-visit)

Ahmed Zaki Elbhery1,2, MB Ch, Ahmed Taha Sayed1 MD and Abd-Elmonem Hota MD

*Corresponding Author:
Ahmed Zaki Elbhery
az075876@gmail.com

Received for publication, April 29, 2020; Accepted, July 4, 2020; published online, July 4, 2020.

Abstract
Background: Abdominoplasty is one of the principal common aesthetic operations. Many research articles have revealed that; Surgeon can combine both techniques adequately together (liposuction and abdominoplasty)

Objective: This study is to evaluate the abdominoplasty assisted with liposuction.

Patient and Methods: This study was done on 30 patients at Al-Azhar university hospitals. The patients were suffering from pendulous abdomen with fullness at love-handle and epigastric areas. Study implemented liposuction with three varieties of abdominoplasty techniques (extended, complete, and mini abdominoplasty)

Results: The use of liposuction in combination with abdominoplasty shows marked improvement in postoperative results including belly rejuvenation, better contour, less extended scars, patient satisfaction and surgeon satisfaction.

Conclusion: Using abdominoplasty assisted liposuction techniques give better results regarding scar length and high patient satisfaction.

Keywords: Liposuction; Abdominoplasty; Combined procedures.

INTRODUCTION
Abdominoplasty became the most common aesthetic surgical procedure with development of safe anesthesia and fast recovery.
In 1980s Illoze1 introduced liposuction in addition to abdominoplasty in the same procedure this give more contour enhancement in the same procedure. Articles on combining liposuction and abdominoplasty have been published during the 1990’s; but, the literature at that point became not generally supportive of this combination, advising warning.1

In 2001, Saldanha et al.2 defined lipoabdominoplasty technique much like Illouz’z Suction Abdominoplasty. Liposuction assisted abdominoplasty became first executed in October1996 by means of detaching an belly flap from the deep fascia with liposuction.2

Abdominoplasty procedure has developed for all patients and asking for contouring and reshaping of this body areas.3
Surgical abdominoplasty is also insufficient within the obese affected person because the thickness of the belly panniculus which isn't always reduced; in addition, secondary to tissue tension with wound closure, a few necrosis of pores and skin above the pubis are n’t uncommon.4

The introduction of liposuction strategies has allowed surgeons to limit scar duration in positive applicants, remodeling abdominoplasty right into a minimally invasive manner.5 Using liposuction in addition with abdominoplasty has been controversial. The combination of techniques has been related to increased incidence of venous thromboembolism and wound-recovery complications. With using of thromboembolism prophylaxis, the risk of thromboembolism was decreased and so on increased rate of liposuction assisted abdominoplasty.6
So in this study Re-visit for the use of liposuction assisted abdominoplasty and monitoring its results.

PATIENT AND METHODS
This a prospective study case series that included 30 patients. They have been passed through assisted liposuction abdominoplasty.

All patients indicated for this technique were chosen from the plastic surgical treatment outpatient clinic. They all were having; belly lipodystrophy, skin redundancy, and musculoaponeurotic divarication.

The patients classified according to Mattarasso classification to 3 groups; group (A) ten patients indicated to liposuction assisted extended abdominoplasty, group (B) ten patients indicated to liposuction assisted full abdominoplasty and group
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(C) ten patients indicated to liposuction assisted mini abdominoplasty. The study was performed in Al-Azhar university Hospitals (Al-Hussin and Sayed Galal) from October 2019 to April 2020. The assessment included operative time, hospitals stay, general drain output, time of drain removal, aspirate volume, weight of excised tissue, scar length, complications, return to work, and patients' satisfaction. All patients were signed a consent about the operation, anesthesia, viable complications, dangers, photographing, and inclusion in this study. All patients have belly deformities marked skin excess, lipodystrophy without or with muscle laxity (Matarasso type 2, 3 and 4). All Patients have BMI <35. There was no abdominoplasty patients with recent massive weight loss or lost weight with bariatric surgery and/or acute life-style modifications. Heavy smoker patients and ex-smokers advised to stop smoking one month before operation. Patients with ongoing weight reduction, or refused to enroll in the study and patients with co-morbid health issues have been excluded.

**Preoperative exam, planning and marking:**
Full investigations, radiology including U/S, CBC, SGPT /CREAT, INR were done for each patient. The patients were examined for hernias or previous operation scars as subcostal cholecystectomy scar. Images are normally taken in 6 cardinal views. We marked the incision line while patient standing; 7 cm above superior vulvar commissure. This mark is prolonged laterally, along skin crease while patient manually elevate her belly. The length and region of this proposed incision and liposuctions is then measured to make certain symmetry. (Figure 1,2).

![Preoperative markings](image)

*Fig. 1: Preoperative markings are made with the patient standing.*

![Lateral part of incision](image)

![Upper abdomen and flanks](image)

![Lower back and outer thigh](image)

*Fig. 2: All areas for liposuction are marked this includes; the entire central abdomen, flanks, and hip rolls.*

Lateral part of the incision is marked and agreed by the patient then the proposed upper incision is identified and marked by pinch test.

**Operative procedures:**
The patient then lied in supine position, compression stockings then wrapped around both legs, sterilization, toweling, anesthesia is
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administered. Tumescent solution is infiltrated in the abdominal flap and liposuction areas (epigastric region, central abdomen, lateral abdomen, hip rolls and flanks). Tumescent consists of lidocaine 2% 10 cm and epinephrine solution (1/200,000). 1cm put on 500 ringers or saline. Surgeons infiltrate the loose areolar tissue between abdominal wall and skin flap to make easier dissection with 3mm infiltration cannula till achieving tissue turgor. 20 minutes later the liposuction of deep plane started with 4 mm cannula, then final refining with 3mm cannula.

Surgeon assesses the equality of flap thickness by pinch test. Liposuction begins in the central abdomen between the 2 outer borders of recti, we leave a thick flap (4cm) in the central abdomen and thinner flap (2cm) in the lateral abdomen and flanks to simulate the youthful abdomen. Additional cannula entry sites may be done in the excisional abdominal flap. Direction of cannula should be infero-superior to preserve much perforators. Then the incision made with 15 blade, it is better to be located 2 centimeters below the marked line to overcome the later upward scar migration. I.V fluid is infused for compensation of liposuction aspirate and blood loss.

Fig. 3: Traditional liposuction with 4 mm diameter cannulas

Fig. 4: Transverse incision of formerly marked is made with 15 blade
Diathermy is utilized to open the dermis and to coagulate the blood vessels. (Figure 5).

Dissection beveled through the fat of the skin flap till reaching the rectus sheath at appoint midway between the umbilicus and the symphysis, this preserves more lymphatic's and decreases seroma formation. Meticulous homostasis should be done along the course of dissection. The Umbilicus then raised vertically with 11 blade and dissection scissors. The upper and lower poles of umbilicus are marked by threads, dissection is continued up to costal margins and xiphoid. Then we plicate rectus abdominis muscle sheath by marking its medial border by methylene blue. This defines the diastasis, plication is done from xiphoid process superiorly and symphysis inferiorly, and laterally from medial border of recti, plication is done by zero or 1 nilon looped suture, in one or two layers according to situation. At the level of the pubic symphysis, the knot tied and buried. Now it is time for flap resection, the patient is put in semi setting position by tilting operation table. The table is tilted in forty five degrees to release tension off of the wound closure and allow excision of maximum skin excess (Figure 8).

It is forbidden to detach the the flap beyond costal margin to preserve its vascularity, but gentle cannula dissection is allowed to get more flap mobility and eliminate tissue ridge without affecting blood supply. This keeps the fibrous septa which contain vascular perforators. then we simulates linea alba of the youthful abdomen by traction sutures with Vicryl 2/0 in the midline. (Figure 9)
this makes amidline depression like an athletic abdomen (linea alba). We apply gentle traction on the flaps by towel clips, mark the excision mark while counter pushing of the inferior edge, assess symmetry between both excision marks, then cutting. (Figure 8)

The new umbilicus is placed at the position midway between symphysis and xiphoid, thin pulling the umbilical stalk and suturing it.

Then we final revision of hemostasis before stay sutures to distribute tension. 2 drains put laterally then is skin closed by suturing of scarpa's fascia, which is important layer and must be closed under maximum tension to relieve the overlying layers, it is closed with 0 vicryl suture. Upper flap is advanced medially to eliminate any dog ears then deep dermal 2/0 vicryl sutures is put before final subcuticular 4/0 prolene sutures. Then we dress the wound and dress the pressure bandage.

**RESULTS**

Patients are classified to 3 groups according to Mattarasso classification, each of 10 patients; 1st group did extended abdominoplasty, 2nd did full abdominoplasty and 3rd did mini-abdominoplasty. All with liposuction, we evaluated resultant contour, aspirate volume, excised tissue weight, drain output, drain removal, hospital stay complications and patient satisfaction in each group.

**Group A**
The weight of excised skin and subcutaneous fat in the 10 cases under group (A) ranged from 2500 to 4000gm. with a mean of 3.070 kg. Operative time was ranged from 3.5 to 5 hours with a mean of 4 hours. The volume of Aspirate in 10 cases ranged from 3000 to 4000 cm with mean of 3.6 liters each case. The total drain output in the 10 cases under group (A) ranged from 600 to 1500 ml. with a mean of 885 ml per case. The days needed for drains removal in the 10 cases under this group ranged from 3 to 7 days with a mean of 4.8 days per case. The days of hospital stays in the 10 cases under this group ranged from 2 to 7 days with a mean of 3.8 days per case. Bad patient satisfaction occurred in one patient showed recurrent protrusion of ant. Abdominal wall which needed revision.

**Group B**
Operative time was ranged from 2.5 to 4 hours with a mean of 3.25 hours. The weight of excised skin and subcutaneous fat in the 10 cases under group (b) ranged from 2000 to 3000g, with a mean of 2.37kg. The total aspirate volume in group B ranged from 3000 to 4000 ml, the mean was 3.65. The total drains output in the 10 cases under group (B) ranged from 3000 to 4000ml. with a mean of 676.5 per case. The total days needed for drain removal in the 10 cases under this group ranged from 2 to 3 days with a mean of 2.9 days per case. The total days of hospital stays in the 10 cases under ranged from 2 to 3 days with a mean of 2.9 days per case. Fair patient satisfaction was achieved in single case (5%) due to asymmetric scar along the incision line. Others are fully satisfied.

**Group C**
Operative time was ranged from 2 to 3hours with a mean of 2.6 hours. The weight of excised skin and subcutaneous fat in the 10 cases under group (C) ranged from950 to 1300 kg. With a mean of 1.24 kg. The aspirate volume ranged from 2500 to3500 ml, the mean volume was 2.8l per case. The drain output in the 10 cases under group (C) ranged from 250 to 500 ml. with a mean of 445 ml per case. The days needed for drains removal in the 10 cases under this group ranged from 2 to 3 days with a mean of 2.5 days per case. The days of hospital stays in the 10 cases under this group ranged from 1 to 2 days with a mean of 1.7 days per case.
Complications
In group (A) there is wound dehiscence and recurrent protrusion of the ant. Abdominal wall, in group (B) there is minimal dehiscence in one case and scar asymmetry in another case, in group (C) there is a case of dog ear. The reduced incidence of epithelializes to 0 percent, seroma to 0 percent, and necrosis to 0 percent dehiscence 1.1 percent, has statistical significance. Because the previous known worldwide percent with traditional abdominoplasty alone was 50, 3.8, 5.1 for seroms, epithelialysis and dihescence respectively as published by saldanha2007. Although the incidence of hematoma was reduced to 0 compared to 0.6 percent and the incidence of deep venous thrombosis/pulmonary (0 percent compared to 0.2), we cannot consider these findings as statistically significant due to the small number of cases.

Figure (13) pre and post-operative view of liposuction assisted mini-abdominoplasty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Total drains output volume</th>
<th>Time to drains removal</th>
<th>Hospital stays</th>
<th>Aspirate volume</th>
<th>Weight of excised tissue</th>
<th>HB 2nd day postoperative</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Patient satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>early</td>
<td>late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>7.5/(2 units packed RBCs)</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Recurrent protrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Summary of postoperative results in group A
Table 2: Summary of postoperative results in group B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Drain output volume 400-1000</th>
<th>Time to drain removal</th>
<th>Hospital stay</th>
<th>Weight of excised tissue 2000-3000</th>
<th>Aspirate volume 3000-4000</th>
<th>HB 2nd day post-operative</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Patient satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>NIL, Scar asymmetry</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>Minimal dehiscence, NIL</td>
<td>good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Summary of postoperative results in group C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Total drains output volume 250-500 mm</th>
<th>Time to drains removal 2-3 days</th>
<th>Hospital stays 2-3 days</th>
<th>Aspirate volume 2500-4000 mm</th>
<th>Weight of excised tissue 950-1300mm</th>
<th>HB 2nd day post-operative 11.5-13 mm</th>
<th>Complications early</th>
<th>Complications late</th>
<th>Patient satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Dog ears, fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NIL, NIL</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The Liposuction assisted abdominoplasty method is not only using liposuction with abdominoplasty, but it is wider concept including enhancing abdominal contour with least complications. Total results of liposuction assisted abdominoplasty obtained in this series were satisfactory. 90% of the patients showed excellent to good results, while 10% showed fair results and this is consistent with Daniel Brauman which noted that 92% are fully satisfying. As regard patient satisfaction 27 of the 30 pt. were fully satisfied and this is conductive with the study of Kim and Thomas with 87% of patients achieving satisfactory results. 2 of 3 unsatisfied patients became satisfied after revision. Hospital stay was maximum 1 week in group a, 3 days in group b and 2 days in group c, actually this is longer than prescribed methods but we needed this for strict follow up. As regard blood loss and needing for blood transfusion, only on case has needed, this consistent with Espinosa-de-los-monteros' study where there is no blood transfusion in his 55 cases study. In this series, the timing for return to work or resumption of everyday life activities achieved by 84% these patients were 3 weeks in group A and B, 2weeks in group C consistent with the study of Brauman 2018 where 89% of patients were able to resume working 3 weeks post-operative. We observed a decrease in the final scar extension when compared with the initial marking in10, 50, 50 percent of patients, in the mini, full, extended abdominoplasty respectively which is conducted with saldanha 2007 which reported 2cm decrease in scar in 30% of cases. Only 2 patients needed revision (6.6 %) which is superior to Saldanha who reported 10% needing revision. As regard complications one of the patients developed seroma which was discovered clinically and confirmed by ultrasonography, it was managed intraoperative by aspiration after cannula dissection of fibrous septa and pressure garment and followed up later by second ultrasonography which was normal. And these results are consistent with the observations of Bromley where 5% of patients experienced seroma. In this study we preferred vertical shape umbilicoplasty with good results, less complication and more natural appearing umbilicus. Same results obtained by Saldanha regards a cross shape umbilicoplasty scar.

The resulting scar was problematic in 3 patients, one of them developed bilateral dog ears in group C which needed revision later, the second case was in group A and the scar was higher in position although it was predesigned and marked in the crease. It may be better to place the incision 2 cm below the bikini line to overcome upward migration of the scar in some patients. The third case in group C there was hypertrophic scar which became better after using silicon sheet and pressure garment. In 27 patients there was accepted scar which is conducted to Sozer. There is one post bariatric patient showed recurrent ptosis in the abdomen which most probably was related to inadequate excision of the skin. Only one patient showed minimal wound dehiscence which improved by optimal wound care which is conductive with Whiteman. The mean operation time takes about 3.5 to 5 hours in group A, 2.5 to 4 in group b and 2 to 3 in group c with slight increase with increasing BMI and vice versa. Which is coming with Bromley findings. No patients showed flap necrosis or DVT. Study precautions as regard blood supply preservation, early ambulation and fluid resuscitation were sufficient. This agrees with Kim's observations. It is recommended that further studies would be conducted on a bigger number of cases, to allow assessing all possible techniques of liposuction assisted abdominoplasty and to allow the comparison of results of different modalities.

CONCLUSION

Liposuction assisted abdominoplasty is based totally on the selective undermining of the belly wall, resulting within the protection of blood supply, lymphatic vessels, and nerves. The traditional dissection become changed with cannula dissection, to keep the blood supply of abdominal wall perforators. This guarantees patient safety. The approach is based on sound surgical principals. The fundamental surgical operation of hydro and lipodissection of the abdominal flap continue as a verified method.
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