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Comparative Study Between Snodgrass and Urethral Advancement Methods in Repair of Distal Penile Hypospadias

Osama Al-Shahat MD, Tarek Zayid MD, Alnagaar Yassin MBbch

Abstract

Background: Numerous original methods have been introduced to repair hypospadias with variable results.

Objective: The aim of this work is to evaluate the two techniques, Snodgrass and anterior urethral advancement for the repair of distal hypospadias.

Materials and Methods: A total of 16 patients with distal hypospadias underwent primary repair in a prospective controlled randomized trial. Eight patients were allocated for a Snodgrass procedure and another 8 patients were allocated for a urethral advancement procedure. A comparative study was conducted, and the outcome was evaluated in terms of satisfactory functional and cosmetic outcomes.

Results: The mean duration of surgery was significantly shorter for the Urethral advancement than for the Snodgrass procedure (56 minutes versus 76 minutes respectively). The cosmetic and functional results were better in the urethral advancement than Snodgrass.

Conclusion: Urethral advancement is a safer and more reliable modality for the primary repair of distal penile hypospadias. It has many advantages, shorter operative time and better functional and cosmetic results.

Keywords: Hypospadias; Snodgrass; Anterior urethral advancement; repair

INTRODUCTION

Hypospadias is a common congenital anomaly of the penis with an incidence of about 1 in 300 lives male births.1 In a patient with hypospadias, dystopic meatus can occur at any level; however, the majority (65-70%) is anterior, while about 30% is posterior in location.2 Advancing the urethra for hypospadias repair was first introduced in 1898 by Beck. However, this procedure was not consistently successful. The urethra was not mobilized sufficiently, and many patients had chordee. In 1977 Belman reported on a technique for hypospadias repair which involved wide urethral mobilization and advancement.3 Anterior urethral advancement as a one-stage technique for repair of hypospadias and urethral fistula is an excellent idea that was introduced by Ti-Sheng Chang in 1984. He realized that the extent of urethral advancement after mobilization (in children up to 1.8 to 2 cm and in adult 4.5 -5.0 cm), was sufficient to repair the penile variety of congenital hypospadias.4 In 1994, Snodgrass first reported the use of a tabularized incised plate (TIP) to repair distal hypospadias. Later, TIP has become mainstream internationally as the optimal surgical option.5 Any technique to repair distal hypospadias should be simple, easy, and could result in satisfactory functional and cosmetic outcomes. Despite continued refinement of numerous repair techniques, there is no satisfactory technique in terms of complications and cosmesis.6 This study aims to compare the most common two procedures for the repair of distal penile hypospadias as regard cosmetic and functional outcomes.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

The two procedures for correcting distal hypospadias were assessed in a prospective randomized controlled study conducted in Al-Azhar University Hospitals between January 2019 and December 2019. Sixteen consecutive patients were divided randomly into 2 equal groups. In group A: Snodgrass procedure was performed. In group B: urethral advancement repair was done. The last case in both groups was done in May 2019, then patients were followed every week for 1 month then every 2 weeks for 2 months then monthly for the next three months to determine any complications and evaluate the cosmetic results.

Inclusion criteria: Patients aged from 1-12 y who had distal hypospadias (coronal or subcoronal) and all of them were primary cases (not operated before for hypospadias) with no chordee.

Exclusion criteria: Patients who had other associated anomalies as well as recurrent cases. Also, patients who had proximal hypospadias or had chordee.

The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of Al-Azhar University Hospitals and written informed consent was obtained from all patient's gardeners.

Operative technique

Tabularized incised plate group (Snodgrass Technique)
- Placing a stay suture for traction of the penis, Catheterization, Tourniquet.
- Parallel longitudinal incisions at edges of the urethral plate were made to create glans wings and a midline incision was made in the urethral plate. (Figure 1)

Urethral advancement group:
- Placing a stay suture, Catheterization, Tourniquet.
- A circular incision with skin about 0.5 cm diameter around hypospadias meatus in the volar aspect of the penis was made to dissect and release the urethra.
- A small transverse incision about 0.5 cm at the volar aspect of the root of the penis was made to dissect and release the urethra distally. (Figure 4)
- Dissection and complete release of the urethra was done. (Figure 5)

Fig.5: Complete release of the urethra

- Tunneling in the glans to pull out the urethra through it after catheter removal was done. (Figure 6)

Fig.6: Patulous tunnel in the glans for urethral tunneling

- Suturing the meatus at the tip of the glans after reinsertion of the catheter was done. (Figure 7)

Fig.7: Suturing the meatus at the tip after urethral tunneling

- Lastly, closure of the wound and insertion of a small drain was done.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using Statistical Program for Social Science (SPSS) version 24. Quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage.

The following tests were done:
- Independent-samples t-test of significance was used when comparing two means.
- Mann–Whitney U test was used when comparing two means (for abnormally distributed data).
- Chi-square test: was used when comparing non-parametric data.

Probability (P-value):
- P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.
- P-value < 0.001 was considered as highly significant.
- P-value > 0.05 was considered insignificant.

RESULTS
Sixteen patients with distal hypospadias underwent primary repair. Eight of them were allocated for a Snodgrass procedure and their age ranged between 12 months to 9 years (Mean ±SD 3.6 ± 2.5). Urethral advancement repair was carried out for the other eight patients and their age ranged between 12 months to 12 years. (Mean ±SD 3.2 ± 3.2). The mean follow-up period in both groups was 7 months (ranged between 6 months to 12 m).

The mean operative duration was significantly less for the urethral advancement than for the Snodgrass technique. It was 56.9 ± 7.5 min. and 76.9 ± 10.3 min respectively. (p-value was significant) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Comparison between studied groups as regard operative data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group I (n=8)</th>
<th>Group II (n=8)</th>
<th>test</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operative time by minutes</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>76.9 ± 10.3</td>
<td>56.9 ± 7.5</td>
<td>T = 4.4</td>
<td>0.001 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60 - 90</td>
<td>50 - 70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative hospital stay and catheter removal by days</td>
<td>Mean ±SD</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.06</td>
<td>MW = 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 - 7</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-operative complications</td>
<td>Urethral</td>
<td>8 100%</td>
<td>7 87.5%</td>
<td>χ² = 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Injury</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Snodgrass group
All patients discharged on 5th to 7th postoperative day after catheter removal (Mean ±SD 6.6 ± 0.7).

No intraoperative complications occurred but as regards postoperative complications, dysuria occurred in one patient which was responded to analgesics and regular frequent dilatation of meatus by a thermometer with lubricant. Wound inflammation occurred in one patient that responded to frequent dressing and antibiotic. Urethrocutaneous fistula occurred in one patient which managed by frequent dilatation of meatus by a thermometer or Nelaton catheter (size 8 F) with lubricant then spontaneous closure occurred. Failure of repair occurred in two patients.
None of the patients exhibited hematoma, retention of urine, penile torsion catheter slippage or catheter blockage. Meatal stenosis occurred in one patient which managed by regular dilatation of the external urethral meatus by a sterile thermometer twice daily for 3 weeks and the problem was resolved. The urinary stream was straight in 6 patients. Parent satisfaction was good in 4 cases, fair in 2 cases and poor satisfaction in 2 cases.

**In urethral advancement group**
All patients discharged in 2nd postoperative day after catheter removal except one patient discharged in the 5th postoperative day (who had a urethral injury). Two intraoperative complications occurred. One patient had a urethral injury, in which we continued urethral advancement after urethral repair and coverage by dartos fascia flap and the other one had a corporal injury that was directly repaired. (Figure 8 and Figure 9).

Fig.8: Urethral injury during dissection

Fig. 9: Urethral repair by vicryl 5/0

Early postoperative complications were edema of glans that occurred in 2 patients which resolved spontaneously. Bleeding occurred in one patient in 3rd postoperative day outside the hospital which managed by compression and blood transfusion. None of the patients exhibited dysuria, wound infection, urethrocutaneous fistula, retention of urine, penile torsion catheter slippage, catheter blockage or failure of repair.

As regard late postoperative complications, chordee occurred in one patient in which corrected by dorsal plication later, after 6 months. None of the patients exhibited meatal retraction, urethral stricture, urethral diverticulum. The urinary stream was straight in all patients. Parent satisfaction was good in 7 cases and fair in 1 case (in which bleeding occurred).

Aesthetic appearance: according to the HOPE scoring system, all patients had score 10 in all items (the position and shape of the meatus, shape of the glans, and penile skin as well as penile torsion and curvature) except one patient had score 7 in penile curvature. (Figure 10)

Fig.10: Comparison between studied groups as regard aesthetic appearance according to The HOPE score

**DISCUSSION**

Hypospadias continues to be a challenging problem for surgeons. The current operative concept in hypospadias surgery is based on a perfect single-stage repair of the malformation and should result in functional excellence and a cosmetically normal looking penis. 8

Due to the presence of this plethora of techniques, Masterson et al. needed to mark a realistic goal and to follow a protocol to evolve a guideline on the management of hypospadias. 9

This study aimed to choose a safe and reliable procedure for the primary repair of distal penile hypospadias. In this study, the operative time of urethral advancement operation ranged from 50 to 70 min, with a mean ± SD time of 56.9 ± 7.5 min. while Elemen et al. 10 reported a mean operation time of 58.82 ± 22.87 min, and Awad 11 reported a mean operative time ranging from 30 to 50 min, with an average of 45 min.

In this study, one case had postoperative penile curvature, while Atala 3, reported, among 73 patients, meatal retraction has occurred in two patients who required surgical correction.

In our study we removed the catheter after 2 days without any obvious complications, while Ekinci et al. 12, Alkan et al. 13, Atala 1, Awad 11 and Elemen et al. 10 left the urethral catheter for a mean ± SD duration of 2.3 ± 0.5 days, 4.64 ± 1.07 days, 1 day, 2 days, and 4.00 ± 1.63 days respectively.
None of our patients developed urinary retention postoperatively while Awad et al.\(^1\) reported that, among their 72 patients, postoperative urine retention occurred in seven (9.7%) patients and one of them required bladder drainage.

In this study, one (12.5%) patient had a small hematoma in glans at 3rd day postoperative and was treated conservatively. None of our patients developed superficial wound infection.

Hassan et al.\(^2\) reported that, among their 30 patients, three cases had a postoperative hematoma (10%), which were managed conservatively and resolved spontaneously. This nearly was similar to the incidence of hematoma in our study. Two (6%) patients developed wound infection.

Paparel et al.\(^3\) reported that, among their 26 patients, four cases had postoperative bleeding (15%) and one of them returned to the theatre to stop the bleeding. While Atala \(^4\), reported a single case of postoperative hematoma (1.3%) among 73 patients. In contrast to Ekinci et al.\(^5\); who reported no early complications such as bleeding, hematoma and wound infection.

In our study, one (12.5%) patient had late postoperative complications (choordee) which corrected by dorsal plication 6 months later. None of our patients developed meatal stenosis, meatal retraction or fistula.

Hassan et al.\(^6\) reported that, among their 30 patients, three (10%) patients developed meatal stenosis, two (6%) of them responded to repeated dilatation twice weekly for 2 weeks and one (3%) needed meatoplasty. There was no recurrent chordee. Two (6%) patients underwent meatal retraction, where the urethra migrated proximally but still within the glans; only one of these patients required a second procedure. While Ekinci et al.\(^7\) reported that among a total of 171 patients, four (2%) cases had meatal stenosis, four (2%) cases had a fistula, four (2%) cases had meatal regression and four (2%) cases of granular dehiscence.

As regards Snodgrass operation:

In this study, the operative time ranges between 60 min. to 90 min. (average 75 min.) which is the same time reported by Oswald et al 2000.\(^8\)

In this study, fistula formation occurred in one patient (12.5%) and meatal stenosis occurred in another one patient (12.5%) which resolved spontaneously by regular dilatation of meatus. That was in agreement with Elbakry\(^9\) who reported that postoperative regular urethral dilatation should be considered as an integral part of the TIP urethroplasty to prevent the neourethral and/or meatal stenosis with subsequent urethral fistula. Also, our study was in agreement with Borrer et al.\(^10\) and Samuel & Wilcox\(^11\) regarding using a vascularized pedicle flap to cover the urethral tube to minimize fistula formation.

In this study, the cosmetic appearance was satisfactory in 6 patients (75 %). The urinary stream was straight in 6 patients (75%). Meatal stenosis was found in one patient (12.5%) and fistula formations occurred in another one patient (12.5%).

The results of O’Connor and Kiely\(^12\) were satisfactory regarding the cosmetic appearance in 97%. The urinary stream was straight in 94%. Meatal stenosis was found in 21% and fistula formations occurred in 3%.

Holland et al.\(^13\) did a study for 59 patients with a mean age of 13 months, using the Snodgrass technique, and followed them for 9 months. Fistula and meatal stenosis were reported in 10%, and 5% of cases respectively. Appearance and functional results were reported to be acceptable. While in our study there were 8 patients with mean age 30 months and follow up period was 7 months.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, the Snodgrass operation was a more traumatic technique than urethral advancement operation. It needs an incision of the urethral plate and glanular wings, neourethra formation and the use of the dartos fascia as a barrier layer. All these steps are bloody and needed more operative time. While the urethral advancement operation technique needed only urethral dissection and tunneling of the glans after skin incision. Thus, in our study, we observed a significantly shorter operative time for urethral advancement operation.
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